

Math 6320 Homework #10 Solution

11, 21a. Show that, if f is integrable, then the set $\{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$ is of σ -finite measure.

Let $A = \{x : f(x) > 0\}$ and $B = \{x : f(x) < 0\}$. For each n let $A_n = \{x : f(x) \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$ and let $B_n = \{x : f(x) \leq -\frac{1}{n}\}$.

Then $A_n \subset A$ and for every n ,

$$\int_X f^+ \geq \int_A f^+ \geq \int_{A_n} f^+ \geq \int_{A_n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \mu(A_n).$$

Thus

$$\mu(A_n) \leq n \int_X f^+ < \infty,$$

so in particular A_n is of finite measure. Clearly $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ so that A is σ -finite.

The same trick works for B and B_n . Hence $A \cup B$ is the countable union of the $A_n \cup B_n$, and so is σ -finite.

11, 22a. Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a measure space and g a nonnegative measurable function on X . Set $\nu E = \int_E g d\mu$. Show that ν is a measure on \mathcal{B} .

By definition of $\int_E g d\mu = \sup_{\varphi \leq g} \int_E \varphi$, with

$$\int_E \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \xi_{E_i} d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mu(E_i \cap E),$$

we see that $\int_{\emptyset} g d\mu = 0$. So $\nu \emptyset = 0$.

Now for countable additivity: let A_i be a sequence of disjoint measurable sets, and let $A = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$.

The easy proof is to notice that $\nu E = \int_E g d\mu = \int_X g \xi_E d\mu$ for any set $E \in \mathcal{B}$. By the monotone convergence theorem (or actually Corollary 14), we have

$$g \xi_A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g \xi_{A_i},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(A) &= \int_A g \mu = \int_X g \xi_A \mu \\ &= \int_X \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g \xi_{A_i} \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_X g \xi_{A_i} \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i).\end{aligned}$$

- 11, 25. Give an example of a decreasing sequence $\langle \mu_n \rangle$ of measures on a measurable space such that the set function μ defined by $\mu E = \lim \mu_n E$ is not a measure.

Let m be Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} and let $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n}m$. Then $\mu_n \mathbb{R} = \infty$ for every n , and thus $\mu \mathbb{R} = \infty$. On the other hand, $\mu_n[-m, m] = \frac{2m}{n}$ for every fixed m , so that $\mu[-m, m] = 0$ for every fixed m .

Thus $\mu \mathbb{R} \neq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu[-m, m]$, so μ cannot be a measure.

- 11, 27b. Show that the Hahn decomposition is unique except for null sets.

Suppose $X = A \cup B$ and $X = C \cup D$ with ν positive on A and C , ν negative on B and D , and $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}X &= (A \cup B) \cap X = (A \cup B) \cap (C \cup D) = (A \cap (C \cup D)) \cup (B \cap (C \cup D)) \\ &= (A \cap C) \cup (A \cap D) \cup (B \cap C) \cup (B \cap D).\end{aligned}$$

ν is positive on A and negative on D , and since $A \cap D$ is a subset of both, we must have $\nu(A \cap D) = 0$. Similarly $\nu(B \cap C) = 0$. So letting $E = (A \cap D) \cup (B \cap C)$, we have $\nu(E) = 0$ and

$$X = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D) \cup E.$$

These sets are all disjoint. Thus since $B \cap D \subset B$, we know $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}$, and $A = \tilde{B}$ implies

$$A \subset \tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D} = (A \cap C) \cup E.$$

Obviously we also have $C \subset (A \cap C) \cup E$. Thus

$$A \setminus C = A \cap \tilde{C} \subset \tilde{C} \cap ((A \cap C) \cup E) = (\tilde{C} \cap (A \cap C)) \cup (\tilde{C} \cap E) = \tilde{C} \cap E.$$

Thus $A \setminus C$ is a set of measure zero. Similarly $C \setminus A$ is a set of measure zero. The same is true for B and D .

- 11, 28. Show that there is only one pair of mutually singular measures ν^+ and ν^- such that $\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-$. [Hint: Show that any such pair determines a Hahn decomposition and apply the results of Problem 27b.]

Suppose μ^+ and μ^- is another pair of mutually singular measures and $\nu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$. Let A and B be the disjoint sets with $X = A \cup B$ and $\nu^+(B) = 0$ and $\nu^-(A) = 0$, and let C and D be the disjoint sets with $X = C \cup D$ and $\mu^+(D) = 0$ and $\mu^-(C) = 0$.

Then for any measurable set $E \subset A$, we have $0 \leq \nu^-(E) \leq \nu^-(A) = 0$, so that $\nu(E) = \nu^+(E) - \nu^-(E) = \nu^+(E) \geq 0$. So A is a positive set. Similarly B is a negative set, C is a positive set, and D is a negative set.

By Problem 27b, $(A \cup C) \setminus (A \cap C)$ is a set of measure zero, as is $(B \cup D) \setminus (B \cap D)$, so that if E is any set at all, then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^+(E) &= \nu^+(E \cap A) + \nu^+(E \cap B) = \nu^+(E \cap A) = \nu(E \cap A) - \nu^-(E \cap A) \\ &= \nu(E \cap A) = \nu(E \cap A \cap C) + \nu(E \cap A \cap \tilde{C}) = \nu(E \cap A \cap C), \end{aligned}$$

since $\nu(A \cap \tilde{C}) = 0$. Similarly $\mu^+(E) = \nu(E \cap A \cap C)$. Thus $\mu^+ = \nu^+$ on every set.

Similarly $\mu^- = \nu^-$ on every set.

- 11, 33a. Show that the Radon-Nikodym Theorem for a finite measure μ implies the theorem for a σ -finite measure μ . [Hint: Decompose X into a countable union of sets X_i of finite μ -measure and apply the Radon-Nikodym Theorem to each X_i to obtain f . Show f to have the required properties.]

As suggested, write $X = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ where $\mu(X_n) < \infty$. We can assume without loss of generality that the X_n are disjoint, by taking

$$Y_n = X_n \cap \left(\widetilde{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} X_k} \right).$$

For each n , we know there is a function f_n such that whenever $E \in \mathcal{B}$ and $E \subset X_n$, we have

$$\nu(E) = \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Define $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi_{X_n}$. For any set E , let $E_n = E \cap X_n$. Then $E = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ is a disjoint union, and since ν is a signed measure we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(E) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f_n d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X f_n \xi_{E_n} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We want to say

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X f_n \xi_{E_n} d\mu = \int_X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi_{E_n} d\mu,$$

which is true by the monotone convergence theorem since every $f_n \xi_{E_n}$ is nonnegative.

Then

$$\nu(E) = \int_X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi_{E_n} = \int_X \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \xi_{X_n} \xi_E d\mu = \int_X \xi_E f d\mu = \int_E f d\mu.$$

This is true for every $E \in \mathcal{B}$, so f is the function we want.

- 11, 39. *Use the following example to show that the hypothesis in the Radon-Nikodym Theorem that μ is σ -finite cannot be omitted. Let $X = [0, 1]$, \mathcal{B} the class of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$, and take ν to be Lebesgue measure and μ to be the counting measure on \mathcal{B} . Then ν is finite and absolutely continuous with respect to μ , but there is no function f such that $\nu E = \int_E f d\mu$ for all $E \in \mathcal{B}$. At what point does the proof of Theorem 23 break down for this example?*

We just have to check the things that are claimed. Certainly $\nu(X) = 1$ so ν is finite. Next, if $\mu(E) = 0$, then E must be empty, so $\nu(E) = 0$ as well. Thus ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

Let f be a nonnegative measurable function. For any x , let $E = \{x\}$. Then E is Lebesgue measurable and $\nu(E) = 0$, while

$$\int_E f d\mu = f(x).$$

So if $\int_E f d\mu = \nu(E)$ for every singleton set, then $f(x) = 0$ for all x . Hence $\int_X f d\mu = 0$, but $\nu(X) = 1$.

If $E = \{x\}$ for any x then for any positive rational α we have

$$\nu(E) - \alpha\mu(E) = 0 - \alpha < 0.$$

Hence no nonempty set is positive for $\nu - \alpha\mu$, and the Hahn decomposition is unique: it's always $A_\alpha = \emptyset$ and $B_\alpha = X$ for $\alpha > 0$. However we set $B_0 = \emptyset$ and $A_0 = X$. Thus we have $f \geq 0$ everywhere on $A_0 = X$, but $f \leq \alpha$ everywhere on $B_\alpha = X$ for $\alpha > 0$. Hence $f = 0$.

We have $B_\beta \setminus B_\alpha = B_\beta \cap \widetilde{B}_\alpha = X \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$ for every $\alpha, \beta > 0$, so that each E_k is the empty set. But also $E_\infty = E \setminus X = \emptyset$.

So it is not true that $E = E_\infty \cup \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$, and in fact this is where the proof breaks down.