

Math 4310 Homework #11 Solutions

1. In Calculus, the “Limit Comparison Test” is a frequently used alternative to the Comparison Test. The Limit Comparison Test says that if  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum b_n$  are two series with positive terms, and if  $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n}$  exists and  $L \neq 0$  and  $L \neq \infty$ , then either  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum b_n$  both converge or they both diverge.

Prove the Limit Comparison Test.

**Solution:**

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = L$ . First we prove that if  $\sum b_n$  converges, then also  $a_n$  converges. Letting  $\varepsilon = 1$  and using the definition of limit, we know that there is an  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $\frac{a_n}{b_n} < L + 1$ . Thus for  $n \geq N$ , we have  $a_n < (L + 1)b_n$ . Now the first  $N$  terms do not affect convergence, and thus by the ordinary comparison test, we know that  $\sum a_n$  converges.

Similarly, if we assume  $\sum a_n$  converges, then there is an  $N$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $\frac{b_n}{a_n} < \frac{1}{L} + 1$ , so that  $b_n < (\frac{1}{L} + 1)a_n$ , and again the ordinary comparison test tells us that  $\sum b_n$  converges.

2. Prove Theorem 7.2.3.

- (a) The Ratio Test: If  $|x_{n+1}/x_n| < r$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  and some  $r < 1$ , then  $\sum x_n$  converges absolutely. If  $|x_{n+1}/x_n| \geq 1$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , then  $\sum x_n$  diverges.

**Solution:**

There is some  $N$  such that  $|x_{n+1}/x_n| < r$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{N+1}| &< r|x_N| \\ |x_{N+2}| &< r|x_{N+1}| < r^2|x_N| \\ |x_{N+3}| &< r|x_{N+2}| < r^3|x_N|, \end{aligned}$$

etc. In general we have inductively that  $|x_{N+k}| \leq r^k|x_N|$  for every integer  $k \geq 0$ , or rewriting slightly (with  $j = N + k$ ) we have

$$|x_j| \leq r^{j-N}|x_N| = \frac{|x_N|}{r^N} r^j.$$

Now the term  $\frac{|x_N|}{r^N}$  is a constant, which does not affect convergence of the series by which it is multiplied. Hence the comparison test (and the fact that the geometric series converges for  $r < 1$ ) implies that  $\sum x_n$  converges absolutely.

The second part is easy: if  $|x_{n+1}/x_n| \geq 1$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large, then  $|x_k| \geq |x_N|$  for all  $k \geq N$ , so that the terms of the series cannot converge to zero (and hence the series must diverge by the  $n^{\text{th}}$  term test).

- (b) The Root Test: If  $|x_n|^{1/n} < r$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  and some  $r < 1$ , then  $\sum x_n$  converges absolutely.

**Solution:**

Again, there is some  $N$  such that  $|x_n|^{1/n} < r$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Hence for  $n \geq N$ , we have  $|x_n| < r^n$ . By the comparison test, we see that  $\sum x_n$  converges absolutely.

(Hint for both: they're easy if you use the Comparison Test.)

3. (a) Prove that the Ratio Test is a consequence of the Root Test; in other words, if  $|x_{n+1}/x_n| < r$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  and some  $r < 1$ , then also  $|x_n|^{1/n} < R$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  and some  $R < 1$ .

(Hint: of course you can just say for some  $N$  and  $r$  that  $|x_{N+1}| < r|x_N|$ , and  $|x_{N+2}| < r^2|x_N|$ , etc. Prove that eventually  $|x_{N+k}|^{1/(N+k)}$  is less than 1. Note that your  $R$  will probably *not* be the same as  $r$ , and that “sufficiently large” for the Ratio Test will probably not be the same as “sufficiently large” for the Root Test.)

**Solution:**

As in the hint, we see inductively that  $|x_{N+k}| \leq r^k|x_N|$ , so that  $|x_j| \leq r^{j-N}|x_N|$  for all  $j \geq N$ .

Therefore we have

$$|x_j|^{1/j} \leq r \left( \frac{|x_N|}{r^N} \right)^{1/j}$$

for all  $j$ . Now since  $\frac{|x_N|}{r^N}$  is a fixed constant (independent of  $j$ ), we know that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{|x_N|}{r^N} \right)^{1/j} = 1$ , so that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} r \left( \frac{|x_N|}{r^N} \right)^{1/j} = r.$$

Hence (using the definition of limit, with  $\varepsilon = (1 - r)/2$ ), there is some integer  $M$  such that  $j \geq M$  implies

$$r \left( \frac{|x_N|}{r^N} \right)^{1/j} < 1 - \frac{r}{2}.$$

Denoting  $R = 1 - \frac{r}{2}$ , we find that

$$|x_j|^{1/j} < R \quad \text{for } j \geq M.$$

Hence since  $R < 1$ , the series satisfies the conditions of the root test.

- (b) For the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+(-1)^n}},$$

show that the Root Test gives convergence, but the Ratio Test fails to tell you anything.

**Solution:**

Using the root test, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+(-1)^n}} \right)^{1/n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{1+(-1)^n/n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this value  $r = \frac{1}{2}$  is less than 1, the series converges by the root test.

Using the ratio test, we get

$$\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{2^{n+(-1)^n}}{2^{n+1+(-1)^{n+1}}} = 2^{(-1)^n - 1 - (-1)^{n+1}} = 2^{2(-1)^n - 1}.$$

If  $n$  is even, we have  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \frac{1}{2}$ , while if  $n$  is odd, we have  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = 2$ . Hence it is not true that  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$  is eventually less than 1, nor is it eventually greater than 1; so the ratio test cannot be used for either convergence or divergence.

4. Let  $\mathcal{F}[a, b]$  be the set of all bounded functions  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The “ $C^0$  norm” on  $\mathcal{F}[a, b]$  is the distance

$$d(f, g) = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

- (a) Prove that  $d(f, g) = 0$  if and only if  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

**Solution:**

If  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , we know  $|f(x) - g(x)| = 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , and thus  $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)| = 0$ .

On the other hand, if  $d(f, g) = 0$ , then  $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)| = 0$ , so that for every  $x \in [a, b]$  we have  $|f(x) - g(x)| = 0$ , which implies that for every  $x \in [a, b]$  that  $f(x) = g(x)$ .

- (b) Prove the triangle inequality:

$$d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h).$$

Do this carefully!

**Solution:**

For any fixed  $x \in [a, b]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - h(x)| &\leq |f(x) - g(x)| + |g(x) - h(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)| + \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x) - h(x)| \\ &= d(f, g) + d(g, h). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for every  $x \in [a, b]$ , we know

$$\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - h(x)| \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h),$$

or in other words that  $d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$ .