

Appendix C: the rational numbers

Here we define the rational numbers and give their fundamental properties. For brevity we denote multiplication of integers by juxtaposition, as is usually done.

Let $A = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$. We define a relation \sim on A as follows:

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \quad \text{iff} \quad ad = bc$$

This definition and succeeding ones are well-motivated if you think of (a, b) as being $\frac{a}{b}$ intuitively.

Lemma C1. *\sim is an equivalence relation on A .*

Proof. Reflexivity: If $(a, b) \in A$, then $ab = ba$, so $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$.

Symmetry: Assume that $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$. Thus $ad = bc$, so $cb = da$, and hence $(c, d) \sim (a, b)$.

Transitivity: Assume that $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \sim (e, f)$. Thus $ad = bc$ and $cf = de$. Hence $adf = bcf = bde$, so $0 = adf - bde = d(af - be)$. Since $d \neq 0$, it follows that $af - be = 0$, and hence $af = be$. This shows that $(a, b) \sim (e, f)$. \square

We let \mathbb{Q}' be the set of all equivalence classes under \sim .

Proposition C2. *There is a binary operation $+$ on \mathbb{Q}' such that for any $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, $[(a, b)] + [(c, d)] = [(ad + bc, bd)]$.*

Proof. First note that if $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, then $bd \neq 0$, so that at least the pair $(ad + bc, bd)$ is in A . Now let

$$R = \{(x, y) : \text{there exist } (a, b), (c, d) \in A \text{ such that} \\ x = [(a, b)], [(c, d)] \text{ and } y = [(ad + bc, bd)]\}.$$

We claim that R is a function. For, suppose that $(x, y), (x, z) \in R$. Then we can choose $(a, b), (c, d), (a', b'), (c', d') \in A$ such that $x = [(a, b)], [(c, d)]$, $y = [(ad + bc, bd)]$, $x = [(a', b')], [(c', d')]$, and $z = [(a'd' + b'c', b'd')]$. so $[(a, b)], [(c, d)] = [(a', b')], [(c', d')]$, hence $[(a, b)] = [(a', b')]$ and $[(c, d)] = [(c', d')]$, hence $(a, b) \sim (a', b')$ and $(c, d) \sim (c', d')$, hence

$$(1) \quad ab' = ba'$$

$$(2) \quad cd' = dc'$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (ad + bc)b'd' &= adb'd' + bcb'd' \\ &= ab'dd' + cd'bb' \\ &= ba'dd' + dc'bb' \quad \text{by (1), (2)} \\ &= a'd'bd + b'c'bd \\ &= (a'd' + b'c')bd, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $(ad+bc, bd) \sim (a'd'+b'c', b'd')$. Thus $y = [(ad+bc, bd)] = [(a'd'+b'c', b'd')] = y'$. This proves that R is a function. The proposition is now clear. \square

Proposition C3. *If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}'$, then*

$$(i) \ x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z.$$

$$(ii) \ x + y = y + x.$$

Proof. Let $x = [(a, b)]$, $y = [(c, d)]$, and $z = [(e, f)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y + z) &= [(a, b)] + ([[(c, d)] + [(e, f)]]) \\ &= [(a, b)] + [(cf + de, df)] \\ &= [(adf + b(cf + de), bdf)]; \\ (x + y) + z &= ([[(a, b)] + [(c, d)]]) + [(e, f)] \\ &= [(ad + bc, bd)] + [(e, f)] \\ &= [((ad + bc)f + bde, bdf)] \\ &= [(adf + bcf + bde, bdf)] \\ &= x + (y + z); \\ x + y &= [(a, b)] + [(c, d)] \\ &= [(ad + bc, bd)] \\ &= [(cb + da, db)] \\ &= [(c, d)] + [(a, b)] \\ &= y + x. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Now we define $0' = [(0, 1)]$.

Proposition C4. *$x + 0' = x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}'$ there is a $y \in \mathbb{Q}'$ such that $x + y = 0'$.*

Proof. Let $x = [(a, b)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x + 0' &= [(a, b)] + [(0, 1)] \\ &= [(a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0, b \cdot 1)] \\ &= [(a, b)] \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let $y = [(-a, b)]$. Then

$$x + y = [(a, b)] + [(-a, b)] = [(ab + b(-a), bb)] = [(0, bb)] = [(0, 1)].$$

Here the last equality holds because $0 \cdot 1 = 0 = bb \cdot 0$. \square

The following two facts are proved as in appendix B, proof of B6 and B7.

Proposition C5. *If r is an element of \mathbb{Q}' such that $x + r = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}'$, then $r = 0'$.*

Proposition C6. *If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}'$ and $x + y = 0' = x + z$, then $y = z$.*

These are all of the properties of $+$ that we need.

Proposition C7. *There is a binary operation \cdot on \mathbb{Q}' such that for all $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, $[(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] = [(ac, bd)]$.*

Proof. First note that if $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$, then $bd \neq 0$, so that $(ac, bd) \in A$. Now let

$$R = \{(x, y) : \text{there exist } (a, b), (c, d) \in A \text{ such that} \\ x = [(a, b)], y = [(c, d)], \text{ and } z = [(ac, bd)]\}.$$

We claim that R is a function. For, suppose that $(x, y), (x, z) \in R$. Then we can choose $(a, b), (c, d), (a', b'), (c', d') \in A$ such that $x = [(a, b)], y = [(c, d)],$ $x = [(a', b')], z = [(c', d')]$, and $z = [(a'c', b'd')]$. So $[(a, b)], [(c, d)] = [(a', b')], [(c', d')]$, and hence $[(a, b)] = [(a', b')]$ and $[(c, d)] = [(c', d')]$, hence $(a, b) \sim (a', b')$ and $(c, d) \sim (c', d')$, hence $ab' = ba'$ and $cd' = dc'$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} acb'd' &= ab'cd' = ba'dc' = bda'c', \\ \text{hence } (ac, bd) &\sim (a'c', b'd'), \\ \text{hence } y &= [(ac, bd)] = [(a'c', b'd')] = z. \end{aligned}$$

So R is a function, and the conclusion is clear. \square

Proposition C8. *For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}'$ we have*

- (i) $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$.
- (ii) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$.
- (iii) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$.

Proof. Write $x = [(a, b)], y = [(c, d)],$ and $z = [(e, f)]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (y \cdot z) &= [(a, b)] \cdot ([[(c, d)] \cdot [(e, f)]] \\ &= [(a, b)] \cdot [(ce, df)] \\ &= [(ace, bdf)] \\ &= [(ac, bd)] \cdot [(e, f)] \\ &= ([[(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)]] \cdot [(e, f)]) \\ &= (x \cdot y) \cdot z; \\ x \cdot y &= [(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] \\ &= [(ac, bd)] \\ &= [(ca, db)] \\ &= [(c, d)] \cdot [(a, b)] \\ &= y \cdot x; \\ x \cdot (y + z) &= [(a, b)] \cdot ([[(c, d)] + [(e, f)]]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [(a, b)] \cdot [(cf + de, df)] \\
&= [(a(cf + de), bdf)] \\
&= [(acf + ade, bdf)]; \\
x \cdot y + x \cdot z &= [(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] + [(a, b)] \cdot [(e, f)] \\
&= [(ac, bd)] + [(ae, bf)] \\
&= [(acb + bdae, bdbf)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for the distributive law (iii) we just need to show that $[(acf + ade, bdf)] = [(acb + bdae, bdbf)]$, or equivalently that $(acf + ade, bdf) \sim (acb + bdae, bdbf)$, or equivalently that $(acf + ade)bdbf = bdf(acb + bdae)$. This last statement is proved as follows:

$$(acf + ade)bdbf = abbcdf + abbddef \text{ and } bdf(acb + bdae) = abbcdf + abbddef. \quad \square$$

Next, we define $1' = [(1, 1)]$.

Proposition C9. *Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}'$.*

$$(i) \ x \cdot 1' = x.$$

(ii) *If $x \neq 0'$ then there is a unique $y \in \mathbb{Q}'$ such that $x \cdot y = 1'$.*

Proof. Write $x = [(a, b)]$. Then $x \cdot 1' = [(a, b)] \cdot [(1, 1)] = [(a, b)] = x$. For (ii), assume that $x \neq 0'$. Thus $[(a, b)] \neq [(0, 1)]$, so $a \cdot 1 \neq b \cdot 0$, i.e., $a \neq 0$. Let $y = [(b, a)]$. Then $x \cdot y = [(a, b)] \cdot [(b, a)] = [(ab, ba)]$, and this is equal to $[(1, 1)] = 1'$ since $ab1 = ba1$. Suppose that also $x \cdot z = 1'$. Write $z = [(c, d)]$. then $[(1, 1)] = x \cdot z = [(a, b)] \cdot [(c, d)] = [(ac, bd)]$, and so $ac = bd$, and hence $y = [(b, a)] = [(c, d)] = z$. \square

We turn to the order of the rationals. In general outline, we follow the procedure used for the integers.

First we define the set P of positive rationals:

$$P = \{[(a, b)] \in \mathbb{Q}' : ab > 0\}.$$

As for the similar definition for integers, this definition says that if $ab > 0$ then $[(a, b)] \in P$, but does not say anything about the converse, so we prove this converse:

Proposition C10. $[(a, b)] \in P$ iff $ab > 0$.

Proof. As mentioned, \Leftarrow holds by definition. Now assume that $[(a, b)] \in P$. This means that there is a $[(c, d)] \in \mathbb{Q}'$ such that $[(a, b)] = [(c, d)]$ and $cd > 0$. So $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$, and hence $ad = bc$. Hence $adbd = bcdb$. Now we need the following little general fact:

(1) If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \neq 0$, then $xx > 0$.

In fact, we have $x > 0$ or $-x > 0$ by B13(i) and the definition of $<$ for integers, so by B14(v), $xx > 0$ or $xx = (-x)(-x) > 0$, as desired in (1).

Now by (1) and B14(v) we have $adbd = bcdb > 0$. In particular, $ab \neq 0$. If $ab < 0$, then $adbd < 0dd = 0$, contradiction. So $ab > 0$. \square

Proposition C11. Suppose that $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}'$.

- (i) If $r \neq 0'$, then $r \in P$ or $-r \in P$, but not both.
- (ii) If $r, s \in P$, then $r + s \in P$.
- (iii) If $r, s \in P$, then $r \cdot s \in P$.

Proof. Let $r = [(a, b)]$ and $s = [(c, d)]$.

(i): Assume that $r \neq 0'$. Then $ab \neq 0$, since $ab = 0$ would imply that $a = 0$ (since $b \neq 0$), and so $(a, b) = (0, b) \sim (0, 0)$ and hence $r = [(a, b)] = [(0, 0)] = 0'$, contradiction. If $ab > 0$, then $r \in P$, and if $-(ab) > 0$, then $(-a)b > 0$, so $-r = [(-a, b)] \in P$. Thus $r \in P$ or $-r \in P$. Suppose that $r \in P$ and $-r \in P$. Thus $ab > 0$ and $(-a)b > 0$, contradiction.

(ii): Suppose that $r, s \in P$. Then $ab > 0$ and $cd > 0$. Now $r + s = [(ad + bc, bd)]$, and $(ad + bc)bd = abdd + bbcd$. By (1) in the proof of C10, $dd > 0$ and $bb > 0$. Hence by properties of integers, $abdd + bbcd > 0$.

(iii): Suppose that $r, s \in P$. Then $ab > 0$ and $cd > 0$. Now $rs = [(ac, bd)]$ and $acbd = abcd > 0$. \square

Now we can define the order: $a < b$ iff $b - a \in P$. The main properties of $<$ are given in the following proposition.

Proposition C12. Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}'$. Then

- (i) $x \not< x$.
- (ii) If $x < y < z$, then $x < z$.
- (iii) $x < y$, $x = y$, or $y < x$.
- (iv) $x < y$ iff $x + z < y + z$.
- (v) If $0' < x$ and $0' < y$, then $0' < x \cdot y$.
- (vi) If $0' < z$, then $x < y$ implies that $x \cdot z < y \cdot z$.

Proof. (i): $x - x = 0'$, so (i) follows from C11(i).

(ii): Assume that $x < y < z$. So $y - x \in P$ and $z - y \in P$. Hence $z - x = (z - y) + (y - x) \in P$ by C11(ii), so $x < z$.

(iii): We have $x = y$ or $x - y \in P$ or $y - x \in P$, so (iii) follows.

(iv): $x < y$ iff $y - x \in P$ iff $(y + z) - (x + z) \in P$ iff $x + z < y + z$.

(v): This is immediate from C11(iii).

(vi): Assume that $0' < z$ and $x < y$. So $z, y - x \in P$, so by C11(iii), $y \cdot z - x \cdot z = z \cdot (y - x) \in P$, and so $x \cdot z < y \cdot z$. \square

This finishes the main construction of the rational numbers. There are still two things to do, though: identify the integers among the rationals, and make a replacement so that the integers are a subset of the rationals.

For every integer a we define $f(a) = [(a, 1)]$.

Proposition C13. f is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z} into \mathbb{Q}' . That is, f is an injection, and for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$ and $f(a \cdot b) = f(a) \cdot f(b)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(a) = f(b)$. Thus $[(a, 1)] = [(b, 1)]$, hence $(a, 1) \sim (b, 1)$, hence $a = a1 = 1b = b$. So f is an injection.

Now suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(a) + f(b) &= [(a, 1)] + [(b, 1)] = [(a1 + 1b, 1)] = [(a + b, 1)] = f(a + b); \\ f(a) \cdot f(b) &= [(a, 1)] \cdot [(b, 1)] = [(ab, 1)] = f(ab). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition C14. $\mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}' = \emptyset$.

Proof. To show that $\omega \cap \mathbb{Q}' = \emptyset$ it suffices to show that each element of \mathbb{Q}' is infinite. If $[(a, b)] \in \mathbb{Q}'$, then $(ca, cb) \in [(a, b)]$ for every $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $cb \neq db$ for $c \neq d$, and so $(ca, cb) \neq (da, db)$ for $c \neq d$; hence $[(a, b)]$ is infinite.

Now suppose that $x \in \mathbb{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}'$ with $x \notin \omega$. Temporarily denote the equivalence relation used to define \mathbb{Z}' by \equiv . Then there exist $m, n \in \omega$ such that $x = [(m, n)]_{\equiv}$, and there exists $(a, b) \in A$ such that $x = [(a, b)]_{\sim}$. Then $(a, b) \sim (2a, 2b)$, so also $[(2a, 2b)]_{\sim} = [(a, b)]_{\sim} = x = [(m, n)]_{\equiv}$. Hence $(a, b), (2a, 2b) \in [(m, n)]_{\equiv}$, and it follows that $(a, b) \equiv (2a, 2b)$. So $a + 2b = b + 2a$, and hence $a = b$. Then $(0, 0) \equiv (a, b)$, so $(0, 0) \in [(a, b)]_{\equiv} = [(a, b)]_{\sim}$, and we infer that $(0, 0) \in A$, contradiction. \square

We can now proceed very much like for \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}' . We define $\mathbb{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}' \setminus \text{rng}(f)) \cup \mathbb{Z}$. There is a one-one function $g : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}'$, defined by $g([(a, b)]) = [(a, b)]$ if $[(a, b)] \in \mathbb{Q}' \setminus \text{rng}(f)$, and $g(a) = f(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly g is a bijection. Now the operations $+$ ' and \cdot ' are defined on \mathbb{Q} as follows. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a + ' b &= g^{-1}(g(a) + g(b)); \\ a \cdot ' b &= g^{-1}(g(a) \cdot g(b)). \end{aligned}$$

moreover, we define $a < ' b$ iff $g(a) < g(b)$. With these definitions, g becomes an isomorphism of \mathbb{Q} onto \mathbb{Q}' . Namely, if $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} g(a + ' b) &= g(g^{-1}(g(a) + g(b))) = g(a) + g(b); \\ g(a \cdot ' b) &= g(g^{-1}(g(a) \cdot g(b))) = g(a) \cdot g(b); \\ a < ' b &\text{ iff } g(a) < g(b). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the operations $+$ ' and \cdot ' on \mathbb{Z} coincide with the ones defined in appendix C, since if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a + ' b &= g^{-1}(g(a) + g(b)) = g^{-1}(f(a) + f(b)) = g^{-1}(f(a + b)) = a + b; \\ a \cdot ' b &= g^{-1}(g(a) \cdot g(b)) = g^{-1}(f(a) \cdot f(b)) = g^{-1}(f(a \cdot b)) = a \cdot b; \\ a < ' b &\text{ iff } g(a) < g(b) \\ &\text{ iff } f(a) < f(b) \\ &\text{ iff } a < b. \end{aligned}$$

All of the properties above, like the associative, commutative, and distributive laws, hold for \mathbb{Z} since g is an isomorphism. Of course we use $+$, \cdot , $<$ now rather than $+$ ', \cdot ', $<'$.