

**Remarks on the problems in the books  
Cylindric Algebras, Part I and Part II  
and Cylindric Set Algebras**

J. DONALD MONK

**Part I.**

**Part I, p. 78.** The observations following Theorem 0.2.38 were not reconstructed by the authors. But G. Tardos, in 1986, did prove that there is a finitely generated pseudosimple algebra which is not simple; of course it has infinitely many operations.

**Part I, p. 158, Problem 0.6:** In this problem one should assume that  $\alpha$  is less than the first uncountable measurable cardinal; then the consistency of a positive solution relative to the consistency of certain other axioms has been shown by Magidor and Laver (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 249, p. 97, unpublished respectively)

**Part I, p. 158, Problem 0.7:** For some partial results due to Németi concerning Problem 0.7 see Markusz, *On first order many-sorted logic*, Hungar. Acad. Sci. 1983.

**Part I, p. 245, Problem 1.2:** This problem has been solved affirmatively by Sobociński (Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 13, p. 529).

**Part I, p. 246, Problem 1.4:** solved negatively by Richard Thompson in 1987.

**Part I, p. 263, Problem 2.3:** solved affirmatively by Németi (Math. Logic in computer sci., Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 26, p. 561).

**Part I, p. 263, Problem 2.4:** solved affirmatively by Ketonen for BA's, and hence for discrete CA's (Ann. Math. 108, p. 41). Using this solution,

Andréka and Némethi in January, 1985, extended the solution to non-discrete CA's.

**Part I, p. 263, Problem 2.7:** solved negatively by I. Némethi.

**Part I, p. 463, Problem 2.8:** solved affirmatively by D. Myers (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 216, p. 189).

**Part I, p. 464, Problem 2.9:** solved negatively by Hanf (Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, p. 587).

**Part I, p. 464, Problem 2.10:** solved by Andréka and Némethi affirmatively (December, 1984).

**Part I, p. 464, Problem 2.11:** solved negatively ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ) by Andréka and Némethi (Notre Dame J. Formal Logic 24, p. 399).

**Part I, p. 464, Problem 2.15:** solved negatively by R. Krämer, R. Mad-dux, Alg. Univ. 15, 86-89.

**Part I, p. 465, Problem 2.16:** solved negatively (both questions) by H. Andréka (reference [An90] in the "Open Problems" paper, this volume).

## Part II

**Part II, p. vi.** For information on remark (1), see below, concerning Page 180, Problems 4.11 and 4.12.

**Part II, p. vi.** Concerning item (5), Andréka and Némethi in September 1984 showed that if  $\omega \leq \alpha < \beta$ , then there is a  $CA_\beta \mathfrak{B}$  and a  $CA_\alpha \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a generating subreduct of  $\mathfrak{B}$  different from  $\mathfrak{Nt}_\alpha \mathfrak{B}$ .

**Part II, p. 103, Problem 3.2:** solved negatively by Némethi (January 1985). (Springer Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. Vol. 425, 1990, p. 49.)

**Part II, p. 104, Problem 3.9:** solved negatively by Andréka and Némethi. (J. Symb. Logic Vol. 55, 1990, pp. 577-588.)

**Part II, p. 105, Problem 3.14:** solved by B. Biró and G. Serény in August, 1985.

**Part II, p. 179, Problem 4.2:** (see also Theorem 4.1.24) Andréka and Némethi obtained the following result—for  $\alpha \geq \omega$  there are  $2^{|\alpha|}$  varieties of  $CA_\alpha$ 's. (Ann. Pure Appl. Logic Vol. 36, 1987, pp. 235-277.)

**Part II, p. 180, Problem 4.11:** solved negatively by Andréka and Némethi (October, 1984). (Ann. Pure Appl. Logic Vol. 36, 1987, pp. 235-277.)

**Part II, p. 180, Problem 4.12:** solved by Andréka and Némethi in January, 1985. For  $\alpha \leq 2$ , the equational theory of  $Mg_\alpha$ 's is decidable, while for

$2 < \alpha \leq \omega$  it is undecidable. M. Rubin proved in January, 1985 the related result that equational theory of  $Mn_\omega$  is undecidable. (Ann. Pure Appl. Logic Vol. 36, 1987, pp. 235–277.)

**Part II, p. 180, Problem 4.14:** solved by Andr  ka and N  meti (January, 1985) affirmatively for both the CA and the Gs cases. A direct algebraic proof is available for all cases except  $CA_3$ .

**Part II, p. 180, Problem 4.15:** solved negatively by Andr  ka and N  meti in September, 1984: for any  $\alpha$  with  $3 \leq \alpha < \omega$  we have  $CA_\alpha \neq EqK$ , where  $K$  is the class of all finite  $CA_\alpha$ 's.

**Part II, p. 180, Problem 4.16:** Two possible positive solutions were found independently by Andr  s Simon and Yde Venema. See Simon's paper in this volume, and the references there. See also reference [V91] in the "Open Problems" paper, this volume.

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.2:** solved by Richard Thompson in 1987—the first part negatively, the second part positively.

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.3:** solved positively by Andr  ka in 1987.

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.4:** solved negatively by Andr  ka in 1987. (Reference [An90] in the "Open Problems" paper, this volume.)

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.6:** solved by Richard Thompson.

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.7:** solved positively by Andr  ka in May, 1987.

**Part II, p. 273, Problem 5.8:** solved negatively for  $\alpha \geq 4$  by Andr  ka in June, 1987, and for  $\alpha = 3$  by Andr  ka and Z. Tuza in July, 1987.

### Cylindric Set Algebras

**CSA, p. 127:** Problem 2.12 of Part I is still open; Maddux withdrew his claim. (For partial results see Problem 17 in the "Open Problems" paper, this volume.)

Problem 1 was solved negatively by R. Thompson. (Springer Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. Vol. 425 p. 277, 1990.)

Problem 3 was solved negatively by Andr  ka and N  meti in 1984. (J. Symb. Logic Vol. 55, 1990, pp. 577–588.)

Problems 5,7,8 were solved positively by Andr  ka, Monk, and N  meti in 1984; see Part II, 3.1.139.

Problem 6—the answer is, consistently, no: done by Andr  ka and N  meti in 1982; see Part II, 3.1.82.

Problem 10 was solved negatively by Andr  ka and N  meti in 1984. (J. Symb. Logic Vol. 55, 1990, pp. 577–588.)

**CSA, p. 221:** in Figure 5.10, all ?'s should be replaced by ='; see Part II, 3.1.139.

**CSA, p. 229:** Problem 6.6(vi) was solved negatively by Andr  ka and N  meti in 1987. (J. Symb. Logic Vol. 55, 1990, pp. 577–588.)

**CSA, p. 310:** Problem 2 was solved positively by Andr  ka and N  meti in 1984.

Problem 3 was solved positively by B. Bir  . See the discussion and references in Shelah's paper (which is related to this problem) in the present volume.

Problem 5 was solved positively by I. Sain in 1982. (Notre Dame J. Formal Logic Vol. 29, No. 8, 1988, pp. 332–344.)

Problems 6 and 7 were solved negatively by N  meti in 1985. (Springer Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. Vol. 425, 1990, p. 49, Thm. 7.) Problem 6 was also solved independently by Bir   and Shelah, see the references in Shelah's paper in this volume.

Problem 10 was solved negatively for  $\alpha < \omega$  by Andr  ka, Comer, and N  meti in 1983. For  $\alpha \geq \omega$ , it was solved by Sain, 1988. For an overview see Springer Lecture Notes in Comp. Sci. Vol. 425, pp. 209–225 (Sain's paper there).

Problem 11 was solved, in part, by Andr  ka, Monk, and N  meti. (Part II, 3.1.139.)

Problem 12—consistently, the answer to the first question is no—N  meti.

Problem 13—the answer to the first question is no—Andr  ka, N  meti 1984. (J. Symb. Logic Vol. 55, 1990, pp. 577–588.)

J. Donald Monk

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Colorado  
Boulder  
CO 80309-0426, USA.*