

Cardinality and cofinality of homomorphisms of products of Boolean algebras

BERND KOPPELBERG, RALPH MCKENZIE, AND J. DONALD MONK

If A is an infinite homomorphic image of a σ -complete BA, then $|A|^\omega = |A|$ (see [SK1]). In a letter to one of the authors from H. Andr  ka and I. N  meti the following related question was raised: if $\langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of BA's and B is a homomorphic image of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ such that $\forall i \in I (|A_i| < |B|)$ and B is infinite, is $|B|^\omega = |B|$? We answer this question in the following way: yes if $|I| < \text{first uncountable measurable cardinal}$, no in general for larger I . The proof of the affirmative part uses a result on cofinality by McKenzie which is also given here. Recall that for an infinite BA A , the cofinality of A , $\text{cf } A$, is the smallest infinite cardinal κ such that there is a strictly increasing sequence $\langle B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \rangle$ of subalgebras of A with union A . McKenzie's result is that if each A_i , $i \in I$, has cofinality $\geq \omega_1$, then so does $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$, provided that $|I| < \text{first uncountable measurable cardinal}$. See [SK2] for a systematic account of the cofinality of Boolean algebras. To conclude the paper we give an application of the positive cardinality result mentioned above; this application is due to Andr  ka and N  meti.

NOTATION. Onto functions are indicated by \twoheadrightarrow ; one-one and onto functions by \rightarrow . We use $f[X]$ for the f -image of X . If $J \subseteq I$, χ_J is the characteristic function of J : $\chi_J \in {}^I 2$ and $\chi_J i = 1$ if $i \in J$, $= 0$ if $i \notin J$. For any cardinal κ , $\kappa^{+0} = \kappa$, $\kappa^{+(\alpha+1)} = (\kappa^{+\alpha})^+$, $\kappa^{+\lambda} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} \kappa^{+\alpha}$ for λ limit. We use *measurable* to include the assumption *uncountable*.

For BA's $\langle C_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ and B , we write $C_n \uparrow B$ to mean that $\langle C_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a strictly increasing sequence of subalgebras of B with union B . A BA A is *weakly countably complete*, wcc, if for all countable $X, Y \subseteq A$, if $X \leq Y$ then there is an $a \in A$ with $X \leq a \leq Y$. The following non-trivial result on wcc algebras will be needed below; see [SK1, 2]. If A is an infinite wcc, then $|A|^\omega = |A|$ and $\text{cf } A = \omega_1$. Also note that every complete BA is wcc and the class of wcc algebras is closed under taking homomorphisms. We use $P(I, A, f, B)$ to abbreviate the condition: $\langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a system of BA's, $|I| < \text{first measurable cardinal}$, and $f : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \twoheadrightarrow B$.

Presented by V. Trnkov  . Received March 17, 1982. Accepted for publication in final form November 11, 1982.

LEMMA 1. Assume that $P(I, A, f, B)$, $\forall i \in I$ ($f\chi_{\{i\}} \neq 1$), and $f \upharpoonright {}^I 2 : {}^I 2 \rightarrow 2$. Then B is wcc.

Proof. Let $F = \{J \subseteq I : f\chi_J = 1\}$. Then F is a non-principal countably incomplete ultrafilter on I . Hence the ultraproduct $C = \prod_{i \in I} A_i / F$ is ω_1 -saturated and hence wcc. Clearly there is a homomorphism $f' : C \rightarrow B$ such that $f'[x] = fx$ for every $x \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$. Thus B is wcc.

LEMMA 2. Assume that $P(I, A, f, B)$, $\forall i \in I$ ($|B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{i\}}| < |B|$), and B is infinite. Then $|B| \geq 2^\omega$.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $|B| < 2^\omega$. Say $f \upharpoonright {}^I 2 : {}^I 2 \rightarrow C$. Thus C is wcc and $|C| < 2^\omega$, so C is finite. Let c be an atom of C such that $|B \upharpoonright c| = |B|$, and let $g : B \rightarrow B \upharpoonright c$ be the natural homomorphism. Then $P(I, A, g \circ f, B \upharpoonright c)$, $\forall i \in I$ ($gf\chi_{\{i\}} \neq 1$), and $(g \circ f) \upharpoonright {}^I 2 : {}^I 2 \rightarrow 2$, so by Lemma 1, $B \upharpoonright c$ is wcc, which contradicts $\omega \leq |B \upharpoonright c| < 2^\omega$.

LEMMA 3. If $P(I, A, f, B)$, then there is no sequence $\langle C_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ such that $C_n \upharpoonright B, f \upharpoonright {}^I 2 : {}^I 2 \rightarrow C_0$, and $\forall i \in I \exists n \in \omega (B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{i\}} \subseteq C_n)$.

Proof. For brevity put $A' = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$. Suppose there is such a sequence. Let $C'_n = \{x \in A' : fx \in C_n\}$ for all $n \in \omega$. Then $C'_n \upharpoonright A', {}^I 2 \subseteq C'_0$, and $\forall i \in I \exists n \in \omega (A' \upharpoonright \chi_{\{i\}} \subseteq C'_n)$. Thus we can forget about f and B .

Let $K = \{M \subseteq I : \exists n \in \omega (A' \upharpoonright \chi_M \subseteq C'_n)\}$. Thus K is an ideal in $\mathcal{P}I$ containing all singletons. For every $a \in A$ let na be minimum such that $a \in C'_{na}$, and for every $M \in K$ let pM be minimum such that $A' \upharpoonright \chi_M \subseteq C'_{pM}$. Now we claim

- (1) if $\langle J_i : i \in \omega \rangle$ is a system of pairwise disjoint subsets of I , $y \in {}^\omega A'$, and $0 < y_i \leq \chi_{J_i}$ for each $i \in \omega$, then $\langle ny_i : i \in \omega \rangle$ is bounded.

For, assume otherwise. Let $z \in A'$ with $z \upharpoonright J_i = y_i \upharpoonright J_i$ for all $i \in \omega$. Choose $i \in \omega$ with $nz < ny_i$. Now $z \cdot \chi_{J_i} = y_i$ and $z, \chi_{J_i} \in C'_{nz}$ while $y_i \notin C'_{nz}$, contradiction.

We need two corollaries of (1):

- (2) $\mathcal{P}I/K$ is finite.

For, otherwise there are pairwise disjoint subsets J_i , $i \in \omega$, of I such that $\forall i \in \omega$ ($J_i \notin K$), and (1) is easily contradicted.

- (3) $\exists n \in \omega \forall M \in K (A' \upharpoonright \chi_M \subseteq C'_n)$

For, otherwise there is an $M \in {}^\omega K$ such that $\langle pMm : m \in \omega \rangle$ is strictly increasing. Let $Ji = Mi \setminus \bigcup_{q < i} Mq$ for every $i \in \omega$. Then the Ji are pairwise disjoint, and $pJi = pMi$ for all $i \in \omega$. Hence there is $y \in {}^\omega A'$ such that $y_i \in (A' \upharpoonright \chi_{J(i+1)}) \setminus C'_{pJi}$ for all $i \in \omega$, and (1) is contradicted.

By (2) and (3) we may assume that K is maximal and $A' \upharpoonright \chi_M \subseteq C'_0$ for all $M \in K$. Let $F = \{M : I \setminus M \in K\}$. Thus F is an ultrafilter on I , and we consider the ultraproduct A'/F . Let $C''_m = \{x/F : x \in C_m\}$. We claim $C''_m \upharpoonright (A'/F)$. For, take $x \in C'_{m+1} \setminus C'_m$; we claim $x/F \in C''_{m+1} \setminus C''_m$. Suppose to the contrary that $x/F = y/F$ for some $y \in C'_m$. Let $M = \{i \in I : x_i \neq y_i\}$. Then $M \in K$. Since $x = x \cdot \chi_M + x \cdot \chi_{I \setminus M} = x \cdot \chi_M + y \cdot \chi_{I \setminus M}$ and $A' \upharpoonright \chi_M \subseteq C'_0$ while $y, \chi_{I \setminus M} \in C'_m$, we get $x \in C'_m$, contradiction.

Thus $\text{cf}(A'/F) = \omega$. Now (for the first time) we use the assumption that $|I| < \text{first measurable cardinal}$. By it, F is countably incomplete, hence A'/F is ω_1 -saturated hence wcc, contradiction.

From this lemma we obtain our theorem on cofinalities:

THEOREM A. *If $|I|$ is less than the first measurable cardinal, $f : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow B$, $\forall i \in I$ ($\text{cf}(B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{i\}}) \geq \aleph_1$), and B is infinite, then $\text{cf } B \geq \aleph_1$.*

Proof. Clearly we may assume that I is infinite. Suppose on the contrary $C_n \upharpoonright B$. Obviously $P(I, A, f, B)$. Clearly, then, we may assume that the conditions on $\langle C_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ formulated in Lemma 3 hold, which contradicts that lemma.

COROLLARY. *If $|I|$ is less than the first measurable cardinal and $\text{cf } A_i \geq \aleph_1$ for all $i \in I$, then $\text{cf } \prod_{i \in I} A_i \geq \aleph_1$. (If I is infinite, then $\text{cf } \prod_{i \in I} A_i = \aleph_1$, using [SK2]).*

We do not know whether the condition that $|I| < \text{first measurable cardinal}$ is needed in the corollary (a problem stated in [vDMR]); by Theorem D below, it is needed in the theorem.

Our first cardinality theorem is as follows.

THEOREM B. *If $|I|$ is less than the first measurable cardinal, $f : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \rightarrow B$, $\forall i \in I$ ($|B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{i\}}| < |B|$), and $|B| \geq \omega$, then $|B|^\omega = |B|$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $|B|^\omega > |B|$. By Lemma 2, $|B| > 2^\omega$. Let μ be minimum such that $\mu^\omega > |B|$. Thus $\mu \leq |B|$ and $\forall \nu < \mu$ ($\nu^\omega < \mu$), so $\text{cf } \mu = \omega$. Also note that $\mu > 2^\omega$. Say $2^\omega < \nu_n \upharpoonright \mu$ for $n \in \omega$, with $\nu_n^\omega = \nu_n$. Let $K = \{J \subseteq I : |B \upharpoonright f\chi_J| < \mu\}$. Thus K is an ideal in $\mathcal{P}I$. Now we claim

(1) $\mathcal{P}I/K$ is finite.

For, suppose not. Then there are pairwise disjoint subsets J_i , $i \in \omega$, of I such that $J_i \notin K$ for all $i \in \omega$. Let $g: B \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \omega} B \upharpoonright f\chi_{J_i}$ be the natural homomorphism. Then g is onto. In fact, let $x \in \prod_{i \in \omega} B \upharpoonright f\chi_{J_i}$. Say $x_i = f y_i$ with $y_i \leq \chi_{J_i}$ for every $i \in \omega$. Let $z \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ be such that $z \upharpoonright J_i = y_i \upharpoonright J_i$ for all $i \in \omega$. Clearly $gfz = x$. So, indeed g is onto. Hence

$$\mu^\omega \leq \left| \prod_{i \in \omega} B \upharpoonright f\chi_{J_i} \right| \leq |B|,$$

a contradiction. Thus (1) holds, and so we may assume that K is a maximal ideal.

(2) $\{i\} \in K$ for all $i \in I$.

For, if $\{i\} \notin K$, then $|B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{i\}}| = |B|$ since K is maximal, contradiction.

Now K is countably incomplete, so let $\langle M_i : i \in \omega \rangle$ be a partition of I such that $M_i \in K$ for all $i \in \omega$. Thus $\prod_{i \in I} A_i \cong \prod_{i \in \omega} \prod_{j \in M_i} A_j$ and $\forall i \in \omega (|B \upharpoonright f\chi_{M_i}| < |B|)$, so we may assume that $I = \omega$.

Next, let $L = \{x \in \prod_{i \in \omega} A_i : |B \upharpoonright fx| < \mu\}$.

(3) $\prod_{i \in \omega} A_i/L$ is finite.

For, otherwise there are pairwise disjoint elements x_0, x_1, \dots of $\prod_{i \in \omega} A_i \setminus L$. For each $i \in \omega$ let $y_i = x_i \cdot \chi_{\omega \setminus i}$; then also $y_i \notin L$. Let $h: B \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \omega} B \upharpoonright f y_i$ be the natural homomorphism. Again we claim that h is onto. Let $b \in \prod_{i \in \omega} B \upharpoonright f y_i$; say $f a_i = b_i$ with $a_i \leq y_i$ for each $i \in \omega$. Now define $c \in \prod_{i \in \omega} A_i$ by setting $c_i = \sum_{j \leq i} a_j$ for each $i \in \omega$. Note that $c \cdot y_k = a_k$ for all $k \in \omega$. Hence $hc = b$, as desired. Again, this gives a contradiction, so (3) holds. We may assume that L is a maximal ideal.

For each $n \in \omega$ let

$$C_n = \{b \in B : |B \upharpoonright b| \leq \nu_n \text{ or } |B \upharpoonright -b| \leq \nu_n\}.$$

Then C_n is a subalgebra of B , and $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} C_n = B$ since L is maximal. Furthermore, $C_n \subseteq C_m$ for $n < m$. Next we claim

(4) $\forall n \in \omega (C_n \neq B)$.

For, suppose $C_n = B$. Let T be the ideal in B generated by $\{f\chi_I : |B \upharpoonright f\chi_I| \leq \nu_n\}$. Clearly $|T| \leq 2^\omega \cdot \nu_n = \nu_n$, so $|B/T| = |B|$. Let g be the natural homomorphism $B \rightarrow B/T$. Then $P(\omega, A, g \circ f, B/T)$, $\forall i \in \omega (gf\chi_{\{i\}} \neq 1)$, and $(g \circ f) \upharpoonright {}^\omega 2 : {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow 2$, so by Lemma 1, B/T is wcc, contradicting $|B|^\omega \neq |B|$. So (4) holds.

There is an n such that $f[I^2] \subseteq C_n$. Hence we have contradicted Lemma 3.

COROLLARY. *If $|I|$ is less than the first measurable cardinal, B is a homomorphic image of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$, $|B| \geq \omega$, and $\forall i \in I (|A_i| < |B|)$, then $|B|^\omega = |B|$.*

Our second theorem on cardinality shows that the assumption $|I| < \text{first measurable cardinal}$ is needed in both Theorem B and its corollary.

THEOREM C. (i) *Suppose κ is uncountable and there is a non-principal countably complete ultrafilter on κ . Then there is a system $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \rangle$ of Boolean algebras and a homomorphism $f : \prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} A_\alpha \rightarrow B$ such that $|B| \geq \omega$, $\forall \alpha \in \kappa (|B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{\alpha\}}| = 1)$, and $|B|^\omega > |B|$.*

(ii) *Suppose $\kappa \geq \omega$ and there is a countably complete $(\kappa, \kappa^{+\omega})$ -regular ultrafilter on some I such that $|I| > \kappa^{+\omega}$. Then there is a system $\langle A_i : i \in I \rangle$ of Boolean algebras and an infinite homomorphic image B of $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ such that $\forall i \in I (|A_i| < |B|)$ and $|B|^\omega > |B|$.*

Proof. (i) Let A_α be the interval algebra on $\kappa^{+\omega}$ for all $\alpha \in \kappa$, let F be a non-principal countably complete ultrafilter on κ , and set $C = \prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} A_\alpha / F$ with $g : \prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} A_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow C$ the natural homomorphism. Then $|C| \geq \kappa^{+\omega}$ and $\forall \alpha \in \kappa (|C \upharpoonright g\chi_{\{\alpha\}}| = 1)$. Because F is countably complete, C is isomorphic to the interval algebra on the well-ordered set $(\kappa^{+\omega})^*/F$, whose order type is $\geq \kappa^{+\omega}$. Hence there is a homomorphism h from C onto the interval algebra B on $\kappa^{+\omega}$. Clearly B is as desired.

(ii) Let A_i be the interval algebra on κ for all $i \in I$. Then proceed as in (i), using the ultrafilter given in the hypothesis of (ii). The regularity hypothesis assures that κ^I/F has order type $\geq \kappa^{+\omega}$, since $\kappa^{+\omega} \leq |\kappa|^{\kappa^{+\omega}} \leq |\kappa^I/F|$.

The existence of an ultrafilter as in (ii) is guaranteed if κ is strongly compact, but it also follows from much weaker assumptions; see [BK].

Now we show that Theorem A cannot be strengthened by dropping its initial assumption.

THEOREM D. *Suppose κ is uncountable and there is a non-principal countably complete ultrafilter on κ . Then there is a system $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ of Boolean algebras and a homomorphism $f : \prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} A_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow B$ such that $\forall \alpha < \kappa (cf(B \upharpoonright f\chi_{\{\alpha\}}) = \aleph_1)$, B is infinite, and $cf B = \aleph_0$.*

Proof. Let C_α be the interval algebra on $\kappa^{+\omega}$ for all $\alpha \in \kappa$, and let F be a non-principal countably complete ultrafilter on κ . For each $\alpha \in \kappa$ let $A_\alpha = C_\alpha \times \mathcal{P}\omega$, and let $f : \prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} A_\alpha \twoheadrightarrow (\prod_{\alpha \in \kappa} C_\alpha / F) \times {}^\kappa \mathcal{P}\omega$ be the natural homomorphism. Note that $f\chi_{\{\alpha\}} = (0, \chi_{\{\alpha\}})$ for each $\alpha \in \kappa$. Hence, using the proof of Theorem C(i), the conclusion is clear.

To conclude the paper we give an application of the Corollary to Theorem B. This application, due to Andr  ka and N  meti, is to the theory of cylindric set algebras, and we use notation and concepts from [HMT].

THEOREM E. *Assume that there is no uncountable measurable cardinal. Suppose that α is an infinite ordinal, κ is a cardinal, $2^{|\alpha|} < \kappa < 2^{2^{|\alpha|}}$, and $\kappa^\omega \neq \kappa$. Then there is an $\mathfrak{A} \in Cs_\alpha^{\text{reg}} \setminus HPWs_\alpha$.*

Proof. By 4.13 of [AN] let $\mathfrak{A} \in {}_2Cs_\alpha^{\text{reg}}$ with $|A| = \kappa$. We claim that $\mathfrak{A} \notin HPWs_\alpha$; assume otherwise. Say $\langle \mathfrak{B}_i : i \in I \rangle \in {}^IWs_\alpha$ and $f \in \text{Ho}(\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{B}_i, \mathfrak{A})$. Let $J = \{i \in I : \text{the base of } \mathfrak{B}_i \text{ has exactly two elements}\}$, $\mathfrak{C} = \prod_{i \in J} \mathfrak{B}_i$, $\mathfrak{D} = \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathfrak{B}_i$. We identify $\prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{B}_i$ with $\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$. For any CA \mathfrak{E} let \mathfrak{E}' be its Boolean reduct. There is an isomorphism g of \mathfrak{E}' into $\mathfrak{C}' \times \mathfrak{D}'$ such that gx has the form (x, \mathfrak{C}) for every $x \in \mathfrak{C}'$. Let σ be the term

$$c_0c_1 - d_{01} \cdot -c_0c_1c_2(-d_{01} \cdot -d_{02} \cdot -d_{12}).$$

Thus $\sigma^{\mathfrak{A}} = 1$ and $\sigma^{\mathfrak{D}} = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(0, 0) = f(0, 0) \cdot \sigma^{\mathfrak{A}} = f((0, 0) \cdot \sigma^{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}}) \\ &= f((0, 1) \cdot \sigma^{\mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}}) = f(0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f \circ g : \mathfrak{C}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{A}'$. For any $i \in J$, $|B_i| \leq 2^{|\alpha|}$ since $\mathfrak{B}_i \in Ws_\alpha$. This contradicts the Corollary of Theorem B.

Theorem E shows that it is relatively consistent that Problem 6 of [HMT] has a negative solution.

REFERENCES

- [AN] H. ANDR  KA, I. N  METI, On cylindric-relativized set algebras, in *Cylindric Set Algebras*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 883 (1981), 131–315.
- [vDMR] E. K. VAN DOUWEN, J. D. MONK and M. RUBIN, *Some questions about Boolean algebras*, Alg. Univ. 11 (1980), 220–243.
- [BK] B. KOPPELBERG, *Strong compactness, the product measure extension axiom, and sequences of ω_1 -complete ultrafilters*. In preparation.
- [SK1] S. KOPPELBERG, *Homomorphic images of σ -complete Boolean algebras*, Proc. A.M.S. 51 (1975), 171–175.
- [SK2] S. KOPPELBERG, *Boolean algebras as unions of chains of subalgebras*, Alg. Univ. 7 (1977), 195–204.

- [HMT] J. D. MONK, L. HENKIN, A. TARSKI, Cylindric set algebras and related structures, in Cylindric Set Algebras, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 883 (1981), 1–129.

*Freie Universität
Berlin
West Germany*

*University of California
Berkeley, California
U.S.A.*

*University of Colorado
Boulder, Colorado
U.S.A.*