latter with a free module on countably many generators $\{x_n\}$ extending ϕ by the identity on the common summand. The submodules M_n generated by all $x - x_k$ for $k \ge n$ induce a separated topology in which x_n converges to x; their inverse images under ϕ , along with its kernel, define a norm on its domain. If the latter admitted an ultracomplete normed extension, ϕ would be extendable to a map onto x, contrary to construction. (Received November 7, 1960.)

60T-22. Donald Monk: Representation theorems for cylindric algebras.

The notation of Henkin and Tarski, Cylindric algebras, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 3, Lattice Theory, 1960 is used. Let a be an infinite ordinal, and let $\mathcal{K} = \langle A, +, \cdot, -, c_{\mathcal{K}}, d_{\mathcal{K}} \rangle \mathcal{K}, \lambda < a$ be a CA_{α} . <u>Theorem</u> 1. If \mathcal{K} has a Halmos-type substitution S (i.e., if \mathcal{H} is a polyadic equality algebra except for having quantifications only on finite sets), then \mathcal{X} is representable. Theorem 2. If for all nonzero $x \in A$ and for every finite subset F of a there are distinct $\mathcal{H}, \lambda \in a \sim F$ such that $x \cdot d_{\mathcal{H}\lambda} = 0$, then \mathcal{H} is representable. Corollary 1. If \mathcal{H} is simple, then \mathcal{H} is representable. Corollary 2. If for all $x \in A$, $a \sim \Delta x$ is infinite, then \mathcal{O}_{l} is representable. Corollary 3. If there is a finite irreflexive subset F of a × a such that $\prod_{\langle K, \Lambda \rangle \in F} - d_{K\Lambda} = 0$, then \mathcal{X} is representable. Theorem 1 was independently obtained by Alfred Tarski, and subsequently generalized by him. Theorem 1 cannot be strengthened by replacing "substitution S" by "substitution S acting only on finite transformations". From Corollary 1 it follows that for no β with $2 \leq \beta < \omega$ is it true that every CA_a can be embed ded in a CA₄₀. Corollary 2 answers a question of Henkin, and shows that Theorem 2 generalizes the representation theorem for DCA_a's. (Received November 7, 1960.)

60T-23. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd: Cobordism classes of bundles,

For a space X, consider pairs (M^n, f) of compact differentiable oriented manifolds M^n and maps $f:M^n \rightarrow X$. Two such pairs (M_1^n, f_1) are <u>cobordant</u> if there exists an oriented (n + 1)-manifold V^{n+1} , with boundary the disjoint union $M_1^n - M_2^n$, and a map $F:V^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ with $F|M_1^n = f_1$. The equivalence classes form a group $\Omega_n(X)$. The definition can be extended to pairs, and one obtains a homology theory satisfying the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms, with the exception of the dimensional axiom. For a finite simplicial complex K there is a spectral sequence for which $E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(K;\Omega_q), \Omega_q$ the Thom group, and whose E^{00} -term is associated with a filtration of $\Omega_*(K)$. For a classifying space presents the cobordism classes of G-bundles over oriented d n-manifolds. In case all torsion of $H_*(B_G;Z)$ consists of elem we show that $\Omega_n(B_G) \approx \sum_{p+q=n} H_p(B_G;\Omega_q)$. Corresponding to bers and Pontryagin numbers of ordinary cobordism, there an mixed Whitney numbers and Pontryagin numbers, using combin of tangential classes of the base M^n and characteristic class For G as above, two G-bundles are cobordant if and only if co-Whitney numbers and Pontryagin numbers are all equal. (Rec 14, 1960.)

60T-24. W. S. Massey: <u>Almost complex structures on</u> manifolds. I.

Let M be a compact, connected, orientable, differentiable manifold whose second Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes. <u>Theore</u> exists a continuous field of tangent 2-frames on M, then M adm complex structure. <u>Theorem</u> II. If $H^2(M,Z) = 0$ and M admits a plex structure, then there exists a continuous field of tangent 2as a consequence, the Euler characteristic of M vanishes. It fo Theorem II that the quaternionic projective plane does not admit plex structure; this answers a question raised by Hirzebruch (A vol. 60 (1954) p. 224). The example $M = S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2 \times S^2$ shows thesis $H^2(M,Z) = 0$ is essential in Theorem II. The proof of The makes use of the facts that the group of the tangent bundle of M to the group Spin (8), and that Spin (8) has interesting outer auto (Received November 14, 1960.)

60T-25. Katsumi Nomizu: Completeness of Riemannian

Some years ago H. Ozeki proved that any differentiable m satisfying the second axiom of countability admits a complete Ri It is now shown that if every Riemannian metric which M admits then M is compact. This follows from the following result. A R metric g on M is called <u>bounded</u> if M is bounded with respect to d(x,y) defined naturally by g as the infimum of all rectifiable cur $m \cdot \min_{1 \le k \le m} \langle kx \rangle$ is determined. At the same time the limiting distribution $(m \longrightarrow \infty)$ of the and integer k for which $\langle kx \rangle \le m^{-1}$ is found. Going to higher dimensions, let x_1, x_2, \ldots be independent random variables, each with a uniform distribution on [0,1] and define: $N(m,\gamma,p)$ = the number of integers $k, l \le k \le m$, for which simultaneously $\langle kx_1 \rangle \le \gamma$, $\langle kx_2 \rangle \le \gamma, \ldots, \langle kx_p \rangle \le \gamma$. Then it is that for fixed $0 < \gamma < 1/2$ the distribution of $N(m,\gamma,p)$ tends to a Poisson distribution with model $p \longrightarrow \infty$, $m \longrightarrow \infty$ such that $m(2\gamma)^p \longrightarrow \lambda$. (Received May 22, 1961.)

61T-167. R. J. BUEHLER, Statistical Laboratory, Iowa State University, Amon, Iowa II proofs and generalization of an optimum-gradient theorem.

In an optimum-gradient iteration, successive values ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 ,..., of a positive definite with function $\phi = x^{\dagger}Ax$ satisfy $\phi_2^2 \leq \phi_1\phi_3$ for any initial vector x_1 , and hence $\phi_{n+2}/\phi_{n+1} \geq \phi_{n+1}/\phi_n$. It is easily shown that $x_2 = (I + \lambda A)x_1$ where $\lambda = -x_1^{\dagger}A^2x_1/x_1^{\dagger}A^3x_1$, and $x_3 = (I + \mu A)x_2$, where $x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_1)(x_3^{\dagger}Ax_3) + \phi_3^{\dagger}Ax_3 = x_1^{\dagger}(I + \lambda A)Ax_1 = x_2^{\dagger}Ax_2$. By Schwarz's inequality, $\phi_2^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 \leq (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 = (x_1^{\dagger}Ax_3)^2 =$

61T-168. DONALD MONK, University of California, Berkeley, California. Relation alg and cylindric algebras.

61T-169. STEFAN BERGMAN, Stanford University, Stanford, California. Bounda for f of two complex variables in a domain with a distinguished boundary set.

Let \mathcal{M} be a domain with the "smallest maximum set" $\not \neq$ in the space of two complete the and let $\not \neq$ be a proper part of the boundary of \mathcal{M} . (See Bergman, <u>Über eine in gewinnen between</u>

 $\lim_{X \to \infty} ||\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{f})| = \lim_{\mathbf{h}_{n}} (\mathbf{T})| = \min_{\mathbf{h}_{n}} (\mathbf{m}), \quad \mathbf{h}_{n}(\mathbf{T}) \in \mathbf{H}_{n}, \quad \text{Since the } \mathbf{B}_{n}(\mathbf{T}), \quad \mathbf{h}_{n}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{H}_{n}(\mathbf{T}), \quad \mathbf{H}_{n}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{H}$

HIM, PETER LAPPAN, 201 Moore Street, Princeton, New Jersey. <u>N</u>

Homoto the open unit disk and \mathcal{C} (f) denote the cluster set of the function normal meromorphic function f(z) defined in D with $\infty \in \mathcal{C}$ (f), the such that the product f(z) $\cdot B_f(z)$ is not a normal function, and (2) a such that the sum f(z) + g(z) is not a normal function. The proofs i which the zeros appear at specified non-Euclidean distances from a s which the zeros appear at specified non-Euclidean distances from a s

111, 1), L. MANGASARIAN, Shell Development Company, Emeryville and Welle's Duality theorem for nonlinear programming.

How ing modification of Wolfe's duality theorem (Rand Corporation Theorem. If x^0 is a solution of the primal problem: N **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a differentiable, scalar, convex function of the **Where** $\phi(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem **Where** $\phi(x) = u^T g(x)$ is a solution of the dual problem

W. AUSTIN, University of Washington, Seattle 5, Washington

If the Pontryagin duality theorem for locally compact abelian g is the pontryagin duality theorem for locally compact abelian g is the minimutative semigroups, making use of Pontryagin's theorem A semicharacter of G is a bounded continuous complex-value (a)X (b) for all a, b \in G, and not identically zero. Let \hat{G} denotes the pointwise multiplication and the topology of uniform convertion identity is sufficient but not necessary for \hat{G} to be a (topolog