Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 45 (1), 2002 pp. 89-96

On Gunning's Prime Form in Genus 2

David Grant

Abstract. Using a classical generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula, we give an explicit expression for Gunning's prime form in genus 2 in terms of theta functions and their derivatives.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g > 0. Let \tilde{X} denote the universal cover of X, $\Pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ denote the projection, and Γ be the group of covering transformations of \tilde{X} over X.

By a prime form for X we mean a function on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ which is an analytic relatively automorphic function for some prescribed factor of automorphy for the action of Γ on each copy of \tilde{X} , and which has a simple zero on the diagonal of $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ and its translates under $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ and has no other zeros. The classic prime form is due to Klein, see [F] and [M]. In [Gu1] Gunning introduced a different prime form, which has a factor of automorphy that is more closely related to that of theta functions. For applications see [Gu1], [Gu2], [Gu3], [Gu4], [P].

Gunning's prime form is only characterized up to a constant factor by its automorphic and vanishing properties. In [Gu5] Gunning gives an implicit normalization for his prime form (see (2) below) that uses his theory of canonical coordinates on \tilde{X} described in [Gu3].

The purpose of this paper is to give for g = 2 an explicit expression for Gunning's prime form in terms of genus 2 theta functions and their derivatives. We do so in the Theorem below up to sign: it may well be that the method described below will also suffice to determine the requisite sign, but it seems like a lengthy and perhaps unenlightening exercise to do so. The keys are to use the function theory on the Jacobian of the curve and a generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula due to Rosenhain.

We first recall some basic facts about compact Riemann surfaces and their Jacobians, following the exposition in [Gu1]. A marking on *X* consists of a fixed point z_0 of \tilde{X} , and a canonical basis $\{A_1, \ldots, A_g, B_1, \ldots, B_g\}$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$. We let $P_0 = \prod(z_0)$. With this marking we get an identification between Γ and the fundamental group of *X* based at P_0 , through which we can consider $A_1, \ldots, A_g, B_1, \ldots, B_g$ as generators for Γ .

For any holomorphic differential ϕ on X, $\Pi^*(\phi)$ is a holomorphic differential on the simply connected space \tilde{X} , hence $\Pi^*(\phi) = dw$, where w is some analytic function on \tilde{X} which we normalize so that $w(z_0) = 0$. Since $\Pi^*(\phi)$ is Γ -invariant, we get a corresponding map $\bar{\phi} \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\bar{\phi}(\gamma) = w(\gamma z) - w(z)$ for any $z \in \tilde{X}$.

Let $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_g\}$ be the basis for the space of holomorphic differentials on X normalized so that $\phi_i(A_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\omega_{ij} = \phi_i(B_j)$. Then $\Omega = [\omega_{ij}]_{i,j=1,\ldots,g}$ is the

Received by the editors March 31, 2000.

The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9303220.

AMS subject classification: 14K25, 30F10.

[©]Canadian Mathematical Society 2002.

period matrix of the marked Riemann surface. A standard calculation shows that Ω is a symmetric $g \times g$ matrix with positive definite imaginary part. Let ${}^{t}m$ denote the transpose of a matrix m, and set $\Phi = {}^{t}(\bar{\phi}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\phi}_{g})$, and $L = \Phi(\Gamma)$. Then $L = \mathbb{Z}^{g} + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^{g}$ is a lattice in \mathbb{C}^{g} . The torus \mathbb{C}^{g}/L is the Jacobian J(X) of X. Let $\Pi^{*}(\phi_{i}) = dw_{i}$ with $w_{i}(z_{0}) = 0$. We then define a map $w: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{C}^{g}$ by setting $w(z) = {}^{t}(w_{1}(z), \ldots, w_{g}(z))$. This induces an embedding $X \to J(X)$ by setting $w(P) = w(z) \mod L$, where $z \in \tilde{X}$ is any point such that $\Pi(z) = P$. The image of X under w is denoted W_{1} , and for s < g we write W_{s} for the sum of the s terms $W_{1} + \cdots + W_{1}$. We extend w to a map on divisor classes of X by linearity.

For any $v = {}^{t}(v_1, \ldots, v_g) \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^{g}$, we define the genus *g* theta function with characteristic $[{}^{a}_{b}]$ and period matrix Ω as

(1)
$$\theta[^a_b](v) = \theta[^a_b](v,\Omega) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^g} e^{\pi i^t (n+a)\Omega(n+a) + 2\pi i^t (n+a)(v+b)}.$$

Note that $\theta[^{a}_{b}](v)$ is analytic in v. We let $\theta(v) = \theta[^{0}_{0}](v)$. Also $\theta[^{a}_{b}](-v) = e^{4\pi i^{t}ab}\theta[^{a}_{b}](v)$, so $\theta[^{a}_{b}](v)$ is even or odd depending on whether $e^{4\pi i^{t}ab}$ is 1 or -1, and the characteristic $[^{a}_{b}]$ is called *even* or *odd* accordingly.

For $\gamma \in \Gamma$, any factor of automorphy $\chi(\ell, \nu)$ for the action of L on \mathbb{C}^g induces the factor of automorphy $\hat{\chi}(\gamma, z) = \chi(\Phi(\gamma), w(z))$ for the action of Γ on \tilde{X} . For $s \in \mathbb{C}^g$, we define the factor of automorphy ρ_s for the action of L on \mathbb{C}^g by $\rho_s(\Phi(A_i)) = 1$, $\rho_s(\Phi(B_i)) = e^{2\pi i s_i}$. Let ζ be the factor of automorphy for the action of Γ on \tilde{X} defined in [Gu2] by $\zeta(A_j, z) = 1$, $\zeta(B_j, z) = e^{-2\pi i (m_j + r_j + w_j(z))/g}$, where $r, m \in \mathbb{C}^g$ are defined by $m_j = \sum_{k=1}^g \omega_{jk}$ and $r_j = \sum_{k=1}^g \int_{z_0}^{A_{kz_0}} w_j(z) \Pi^*(\phi_k)(z)$. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^g$ be defined by $\epsilon_i = \omega_{ii}/2$.

We can now define Gunning's prime form $q(z_1, z_2)$. It is described up to a constant factor as an analytic function on $\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ such that for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$q(\gamma z_1, z_2) = \hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)}(\gamma)\zeta(\gamma, z_1)q(z_1, z_2),$$

and

$$q(z_1, z_2) = -q(z_2, z_1)$$

To normalize q, Gunning requires that for any $z, z_1, \ldots, z_g \in \tilde{X}$,

(2)
$$\theta(r-\epsilon+m+w(z)-w(z_1)-\cdots-w(z_g))\prod_{1\leq j< k\leq g}q(z_j,z_k)$$
$$=\det(w'_j(z_k))_{1\leq j,k\leq g}\prod_{1\leq i\leq g}q(z,z_i),$$

where the derivatives are taken with respect to the "canonical coordinates" described in [Gu3]; that is

$$w'_j(z_k) = \lim_{z'_k \to z_k} \frac{w_j(z_k) - w_j(z'_k)}{q(z_k, z'_k)}$$

Since the transformation $q \to \kappa q$ takes $w'_j(z_k)$ to $w'_j(z_k)/\kappa$, (2) determines q up to a $\binom{g}{2}$ -th root of unity.

It follows directly from (1) that for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{g}$, the factor of automorphy of $\theta(\nu - \mu - \epsilon)$ for the action of *L* on \mathbb{C}^{g} is

(3)
$$\xi_{\mu}(\Phi(A_i), \nu) = 1, \xi_{\mu}(\Phi(B_i), \nu) = e^{2\pi i(\mu_i - \nu_i)}.$$

It follows immediately that

(4)
$$\hat{\xi}_{-r-m} = \zeta^g, \quad \xi_{\mu+s} = \rho_s \xi_{\mu}.$$

A fundamental result is Riemann's vanishing theorem, which says that the zeros of θ modulo *L* are $-W_{g-1} + r - \epsilon$. Since θ is an even function, $-W_{g-1} + r - \epsilon = W_{g-1} - r + \epsilon$, so by the Riemann-Roch theorem, $2(r - \epsilon) = k$, where *k* is the image under *w* of any canonical divisor of *X*.

Now let *X* be the Riemann surface defined by the complex points of the genus 2 curve

$$C: y^2 = x^5 + b_1 x^4 + b_2 x^3 + b_3 x^2 + b_4 x + b_5, \quad b_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Every genus 2 Riemann surface arises in this way. We first choose an ordering $P_i = (a_i, 0), 1 \le i \le 5$, for the affine Weierstrass points of *X*. Then we choose a marking for *X* so that $\Pi(z_0) = P_0$ is the point at infinity on the normalization of *C*, and the canonical homology basis is the traditional one employed for hyperelliptic curves with a given ordering of Weierstrass points [M, p. 3.76].

We will be combining the uniformization of *X* with that of its Jacobian. Most of what we need is given in [M].

Since P_0 is a Weierstrass point, k is the origin of J(X), so $r-\epsilon+m = \Omega a+b$, for some $a, b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2$, and Riemann's vanishing theorem now says that that $\theta[_b^a](v)$ vanishes for any v in W_1 modulo L. With the traditional choice of canonical basis, $a \equiv \binom{1/2}{1/2}$ mod 1, and $b \equiv \binom{1}{1/2}$ mod 1 [M, p. 3.82], and $[_b^a]$ is an odd theta characteristic.

Let σ be the matrix such that $\sigma(\frac{\frac{dy}{y}}{\frac{xdy}{y}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Following [M], we define the differential operators

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_2 \\ D_1 \end{bmatrix} = -^t \sigma \begin{bmatrix} rac{\partial}{\partial v_1} \\ rac{\partial}{\partial v_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then if $z \in \tilde{X} - \Pi^{-1}(P_0)$,

$$D_{x(z)} = D_2 + x(z)D_1$$

is a differential operator such that if we choose an appropriate local coordinate $z(\rho)$ centered at *z*, then

(5)
$$D_{x(z)}f(v) = \frac{d}{d\rho}f\Big(v + w(z) - w\Big(z(\rho)\Big)\Big)\Big|_{\rho=0}$$

Similarly, if $z \in \Pi^{-1}(P_0)$, then $D_{\infty} = D_1$ has the property corresponding to (5). It follows immediately from Riemann's vanishing theorem that for the correct choice of local coordinate $z_0(\rho)$ centered at z_0 , that

$$D_{\infty}\theta(a\Omega+b) = \frac{d}{d\rho}\theta\Big(a\Omega+b+w(z_0)-w\big(z_0(\rho)\big)\Big)\Big|_{\rho=0} = 0.$$

David Grant

And again, since $\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z))$ vanishes identically, $D_{\infty}(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z)))$ has the factor of automorphy $\hat{\xi}_{-r-m} = \zeta^2$ for the action of Γ on \bar{X} . In [Gu1] it is shown that there exists a relatively analytic function h for the factor of automorphy ζ which vanishes simply at $\Pi^{-1}(P_0)$ and has no other zeros, hence $D_{\infty}(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z)))/h^2$ is a function on X with at most a single, simple pole, so is a constant. Hence $D_{\infty}(\theta(a\Omega + b + w(z)))$ has a double zero at $\Pi^{-1}(P_0)$ and no other zeros, and has a well-defined square root $\psi(z)$. There is an ambiguity of a sign in the definition of $\psi(z)$, but the ambiguity will disappear in the formula (6) below.

We can now calculate Gunning's prime form for X up to constant factor. Let $f(z_1, z_2) = \theta (w(z_1) - w(z_2) + \Omega a + b)$. We then define

(6)
$$Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{f(z_1, z_2)e^{-4\pi i^t aw(z_2)}}{\psi(z_1)\psi(z_2)}$$

(7)
$$= \frac{\theta[{}^a_b] \left(w(z_1) - w(z_2) \right)}{\Sigma(z_1) \Sigma(z_2)}$$

where we set $\Sigma(z) = e^{\pi i^t a \Omega a/2 + \pi i^t a b + 2\pi i^t a w(z)} \psi(z)$, so

(8)
$$\Sigma(z)^2 = e^{2\pi i^t a w(z)} D_\infty \theta[^a_b] \left(w(z) \right)$$

Since $f(z_1, z_0)$ vanishes, $Q(z_1, z_2)$ is analytic. From (3) and (4) we have that the factor of automorphy of $f(z_1, z_2)$ under the action of Γ on z_1 is $\hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)}\zeta^2$. So (6) shows that

$$Q(\gamma z_1, z_2) = \hat{\rho}_{w(z_2)} \zeta(\gamma, z_1) Q(z_1, z_2),$$

and (7) shows that *Q* is skew-symmetric. Hence q = CQ for some constant *C* which we now determine up to sign.

Remarks 1) A particular odd theta characteristic was singled out in the definition of *Q* because we assumed a particular marking for *X*.

2) Formula (6) is similar to one given in [Gu5], where the derivatives are taken with respect to canonical coordinates.

Theorem

$$q(z_1, z_2) = \pm \frac{e^{\pi i^t a \Omega a + 2\pi i^t a b} \det(\sigma) \theta[^a_b] \big(w(z_1) - w(z_2) \big)}{D_2 \theta[^a_b](0) \Sigma(z_1) \Sigma(z_2)}$$

Proof We will use (2) to compute $\pm C$. It follows directly from (1) that changing η' or η'' by an integer vector at most changes the sign of $\theta[\frac{\eta'}{\eta'}](\nu)$. Since we will only be computing $\pm C$, we will identify theta characteristics modulo 1, and this will not affect any of the formulas that follow. For $1 \le i \le 5$, we define theta characteristics η_i by setting

$$w(P_i) = \Omega \eta'_i + \eta''_i \mod L,$$

92

On Gunning's Prime Form in Genus 2

and $\eta_i = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_i' \\ \eta_i'' \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\delta = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \mod 1$. It is standard [Gr] that the six odd theta characteristics are δ , $\delta + \eta_i$, $1 \le i \le 5$, and the 10 even theta characteristics are $\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j$, $1 \le i < j \le 5$. Also $\sum_{i=1}^5 \eta_i = 0 \mod 1$.

We will use the following generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula. If ν_1, ν_2 are distinct odd theta characteristics, then

(9)
$$\det\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_n}\theta[\nu_m](0)\right)_{1\le m,n\le 2} = \pm \pi^2 \prod_{n=1}^4 \theta[\rho_n](0),$$

for some set $\{\rho_n\}$ of even theta characteristics. This is due to Rosenhain, and was generalized to all hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces by Thomae. For a modern reference and further generalizations, see [I].

It can be shown (see [C]) that if $\nu_1 = \delta$, $\nu_2 = \delta + \eta_i$, then

$$\{\rho_n\} = \{\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_k, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_\ell, \delta + \eta_i + \eta_m\}$$

where $\{i, j, k, \ell, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. If $\nu_1 = \delta + \eta_i$, $\nu_2 = \delta + \eta_j$, then

$$\{\rho_n\} = \{\delta + \eta_i + \eta_j, \delta + \eta_k + \eta_\ell, \delta + \eta_k + \eta_m, \delta + \eta_\ell + \eta_m\}.$$

Now plugging q = CQ into (2), we get for any $z, z_1, z_2 \in \tilde{X}$ that

$$\theta \left(\Omega a + b + w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2) \right) \theta {a \atop b} \left(w(z_1) - w(z_2) \right) \Sigma(z)^2$$
(10)
$$= C \det \left(w_i'(z_j) \right)_{1 \le i, j \le 2} \theta {b \atop b} \left(w(z) - w(z_1) \right) \theta {b \atop b} \left(w(z) - w(z_2) \right).$$

Now

$$w_{i}'(z_{j}) = \lim_{z_{j}' \to z_{j}} \frac{w_{i}(z_{j}) - w_{i}(z_{j}')}{q(z_{j}, z_{j}')} = \frac{\Sigma(z_{j})^{2}}{C} \lim_{z_{j}' \to z_{j}} \frac{w_{i}(z_{j}) - w_{i}(z_{j}')}{\theta[_{b}^{a}]\left(w(z_{j}) - w(z_{j}')\right)}$$

$$(11) \qquad = \frac{\Sigma(z_{j})^{2}}{C} \lim_{z_{j}' \to z_{j}} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}}\theta[_{b}^{a}](0) \frac{w_{1}(z_{j}) - w_{1}(z_{j}')}{w_{i}(z_{j}) - w_{i}(z_{j}')} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}}\theta[_{b}^{a}](0) \frac{w_{2}(z_{j}) - w_{2}(z_{j}')}{w_{i}(z_{j}) - w_{i}(z_{j}')}}.$$

Using

$$\lim_{z'_j \to z_j} \frac{\int_{z'_j}^{z_j} \frac{x \, dx}{y}}{\int_{z'_j}^{z_j} \frac{dx}{y}} = x(z_j),$$

we get

$$\lim_{z'_j \to z_j} \frac{w_1(z_j) - w_1(z'_j)}{w_2(z_j) - w_2(z'_j)} = \frac{\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_j)}{\sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_j)}.$$

So

$$det \left(\lim_{z'_{j} \to z_{j}} \frac{w_{i}(z_{j}) - w_{i}(z'_{j})}{\theta[^{a}_{b}](w(z_{j}) - w(z'_{j}))} \right)_{1 \le i,j \le 2}$$

$$= \frac{det \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_{1}) & \sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_{2}) \\ \sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_{1}) & \sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_{2}) \end{pmatrix}}{\prod_{n=1}^{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{1}} \theta[^{a}_{b}](0) \left(\sigma_{11} + \sigma_{12}x(z_{n}) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{2}} \theta[^{a}_{b}](0) \left(\sigma_{21} + \sigma_{22}x(z_{n}) \right) \right)}$$

$$= \frac{det(\sigma) \left(x(z_{2}) - x(z_{1}) \right)}{\prod_{n=1}^{2} \left(-D_{2} \theta[^{a}_{b}](0) - x(z_{n}) D_{1} \theta[^{a}_{b}](0) \right)^{2}}$$

$$(12) = det(\sigma) \left(x(z_{2}) - x(z_{1}) \right) / \left(D_{2} \theta[^{a}_{b}](0) \right)^{2}.$$

Hence putting together (10), (11) and (12), we have

(13)

$$C\theta (\Omega a + b + w(z) - w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \theta[{}^a_b] (w(z_1) - w(z_2)) \Sigma(z)^2 (D_2 \theta[{}^a_b](0))^2$$

$$= (det(\sigma)) (x(z_2) - x(z_1)) \Sigma(z_1)^2 \Sigma(z_2)^2 \theta[{}^a_b] (w(z) - w(z_1)) \theta[{}^a_b] (w(z) - w(z_2)).$$

Since from (1)

$$e^{2\pi i^{t}a(w(z)-w(z_{1})-w(z_{2}))}\theta\big(\Omega a+b+w(z)-w(z_{1})-w(z_{2})\big)$$

= $e^{-\pi i^{t}a\Omega a-2\pi i^{t}ab}\theta[^{a}_{b}]\big(w(z)-w(z_{1})-w(z_{2})\big),$

using (8) repeatedly we get from (13) that

$$C' \frac{\theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z) - w(z_{1}) - w(z_{2})) D_{\infty} \theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z))}{\theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z) - w(z_{1})) \theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z) - w(z_{2}))} \frac{\theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z_{1}) - w(z_{2}))}{D_{\infty} \theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z_{1})) D_{\infty} \theta[{}^{a}_{b}] (w(z_{2}))}$$

$$(14) \qquad \qquad = \left(\det(\sigma)\right) \left(x(z_{2}) - x(z_{1})\right) / \left(D_{2} \theta[{}^{a}_{b}](0)\right)^{2},$$

where $C' = Ce^{-\pi i^t a \Omega a - 2\pi i^t a b}$.

At this point we square (14), and let z, z_1 , z_2 be any points such that $\Pi(z) = P_k$, $\Pi(z_1) = P_i$, $\Pi(z_2) = P_j$, for distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then using (1) repeatedly, from (14) we have

$$(C')^{2} \frac{\theta[\delta + \eta_{\ell} + \eta_{m}](0)^{2} D_{\infty} \theta[\delta + \eta_{k}](0)^{2}}{\theta[\delta + \eta_{i} + \eta_{k}](0)^{2} \theta[\delta + \eta_{j} + \eta_{k}](0)^{2}} \frac{\theta[\delta + \eta_{i} + \eta_{j}](0)^{2}}{D_{\infty} \theta[\delta + \eta_{i}](0)^{2} D_{\infty} \theta[\delta + \eta_{j}](0)^{2}} = \left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2} (a_{i} - a_{j})^{2} / \left(D_{2} \theta[\delta](0)\right)^{4},$$

94

On Gunning's Prime Form in Genus 2

where $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We will now apply Rosenhain's formula (9). Since $D_{\infty}\theta[\delta](0) = 0$, we have

$$D_{2}\theta[\delta](0)^{2}D_{\infty}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}](0)^{2} = \det \begin{pmatrix} D_{2}\theta[\delta](0) & D_{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}](0) \\ D_{1}\theta[\delta](0) & D_{1}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}](0) \end{pmatrix}^{2}$$
$$= \left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2}\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\theta[\delta](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}](0) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\theta[\delta](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}](0) \end{pmatrix}^{2}$$
$$(16) = \left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2}\pi^{4}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{i}](0)^{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{j}](0)^{2}$$
$$\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{\ell}](0)^{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{m}](0)^{2}.$$

Similarly, (5) and Riemann's vanishing theorem imply that $D_{a_i}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) = 0$, so $D_{a_i}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0) = (a_j - a_i)D_{\infty}\theta[\delta + \eta_i](0)$. Hence, reasoning as in (16), by (9),

$$D_{\infty}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0)^{2}D_{\infty}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)^{2}$$

$$= (a_{i}-a_{j})^{-4}D_{a_{j}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0)^{2}D_{a_{i}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)^{2}$$

$$= (a_{i}-a_{j})^{-2}\det\left(\begin{array}{c}D_{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0) & D_{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)\\D_{1}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0) & D_{1}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)\end{array}\right)^{2}$$

$$= (a_{i}-a_{j})^{-2}\left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2}\det\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{1}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{2}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)\\\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{2}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}](0) & \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{2}}\theta[\delta+\eta_{j}](0)\end{array}\right)^{2}$$

$$(17) \qquad = (a_{i}-a_{j})^{-2}\left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2}\pi^{4}\theta[\delta+\eta_{i}+\eta_{j}](0)^{2}$$

$$\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{\ell}](0)^{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{k}+\eta_{m}](0)^{2}\theta[\delta+\eta_{\ell}+\eta_{m}](0)^{2}.$$

Combining (15), (16), and (17) we get

$$(C')^{2} = \left(\det(\sigma)\right)^{2} / \left(D_{2}\theta[\delta](0)\right)^{2},$$

so $C = \pm e^{\pi i^{i} a \Omega a + 2\pi i^{i} a b} \det(\sigma) / D_{2} \theta[\delta](0)$, which gives us our theorem.

Remarks 1) Although affine transformations $(x, y) \rightarrow (\alpha^2 x + \beta, \alpha^5 y)$ of our curve affect the differential operators D_1 , D_2 , they leave $\det(\sigma)/D_2\theta[\delta](0)\Sigma(z_1)\Sigma(z_2)$ invariant.

2) The constant $D_2\theta[\delta](0)$ is related to the discriminant of our curve: see [Gr].

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Cris Poor for helpful conversations on this material and his comments on an earlier version of this paper. I would also like to thank Columbia University, whose hospitality I was enjoying while working on part of this paper.

David Grant

References

- [C] G. Coogan, *A generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder, 1999.
- [F] J. Fay, Theta Functions on Riemann surfaces. Lecture Notes in Math. 353, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [Gr] D. Grant, A generalization of Jacobi's derivative formula to dimension two. J. Reine Angew. Math. 392(1988), 125–136.
- [Gu1] R. C. Gunning, Riemann Surfaces and Generalized Theta Functions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [Gu2] _____, On generalized theta functions. Amer. J. Math. (1) 104(1982), 183–208.
- [Gu3] _____, Some identities for abelian integrals. Amer. J. Math. 108(1986), 39–74.
- [Gu4] _____, Analytic identities for theta functions. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (1) 49(1989), 503–515.
- [Gu5] _____, Notes from a course given at Princeton University. 1987–88.
- [I] J-I. Igusa, On Jacobi's Derivative Formula and its generalizations. Amer. J. Math. (2) **102**(1980), 409–446.
- [M] D. Mumford, Tata Lectures on Theta, I, II. Prog. in. Math. 28, 43, Birkhauser, Boston, 1983, 1984.
- [P] C. Poor, *The hyperelliptic locus*. Duke Math. J. (3) **76**(1994), 809–884.

Department of Mathematics University of Colorado at Boulder Boulder, Colorado 80309-0395 U.S.A. e-mail: grant@boulder.colorado.edu