



A Curve for Which Coleman's Effective Chabauty Bound is Sharp

David Grant

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 122, No. 1. (Sep., 1994), pp. 317-319.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28199409%29122%3A1%3C317%3AACFWCE%3E2.0.CO%3B2-A>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A CURVE FOR WHICH COLEMAN'S EFFECTIVE CHABAUTY BOUND IS SHARP

DAVID GRANT

(Communicated by William Adams)

ABSTRACT. We show that Coleman's effective Chabauty bound is sharp for the curve $C : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-2)(x-5)(x-6)$ defined over \mathbb{Q} , by considering its reduction mod 7. We also show that the Jacobian of C is absolutely simple.

Let C be the curve

$$(1) \quad y^2 = x(x-1)(x-2)(x-5)(x-6)$$

defined over \mathbb{Q} and $J(C)$ its Jacobian. Recently Gordon and the author computed that $J(C)(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^4$ [GG].

Proposition 1. *Let P_∞ denote the point at infinity on C . Then*

$$C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{P_\infty, (0, 0), (1, 0), (2, 0), (5, 0), (6, 0), \\ (3, 6), (3, -6), (10, 120), (10, -120)\}.$$

Proof. The genus of C is 2, which is greater than the Mordell-Weil rank of $J(C)(\mathbb{Q})$, so Chabauty's Theorem [Ch] shows that $\#C(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite (of course so does Faltings's Theorem [F]). For any q a power of a prime, we let \mathbb{F}_q be the field with q elements. The proof of Corollary 4.6 of [Co] shows that if X is a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} , if the Mordell-Weil rank of the Jacobian of X over \mathbb{Q} is less than g , if $p > 2g$ is a prime of good reduction for X , and if \tilde{X} is the reduction of X mod p , then $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \#\tilde{X}(\mathbb{F}_p) + 2g - 2$. The proposition follows once we note that C has good reduction at $p = 7$, that $\#\tilde{C}(\mathbb{F}_7) = 8$, and that the stated points lie on the curve.

So far as I know, C is the first example of a curve (whose Jacobian has Mordell-Weil rank > 0) whose rational points were determined by Coleman's bound. (See also [M].) Of course if C covered an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} of rank 0, then $C(\mathbb{Q})$ could also be determined via the cover. We now show that C covers no elliptic curves.

Proposition 2. *$J(C)$ is absolutely simple.*

Proof. The following argument was worked out jointly with Jaap Top. If $J(C)$ were not absolutely simple, then there would be a pair of elliptic curves E_1 and

Received by the editors July 18, 1993 and, in revised form, September 26, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H25.

The author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9303220.

E_2 and an isogeny $\phi : J(C) \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$, where E_1, E_2 , and ϕ are all defined over some number field K . We will derive a contradiction by comparing the L -function of C with those of E_1 and E_2 .

Note that by (1), C has good reduction at the prime $p = 11$. Let \tilde{C} denote the reduced curve. It is shown in [T] that if $N_s = \#\tilde{C}(\mathbb{F}_{11^s})$, then the L -function of \tilde{C} over \mathbb{F}_{11} is $L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11}, t) = 1 - at + bt^2 - 11at^3 + 121t^4$, where $a = 11 + 1 - N_1$ and $b = (1/2)(N_2 + N_1^2) - (1 + 11)N_1 + 11$. A calculation shows that $\#\tilde{C}(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = 16$ and $\#\tilde{C}(\mathbb{F}_{121}) = 118$, so $L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11}, t) = 1 + 4t + 6t^2 + 44t^3 + 121t^4$.

By the functional equation $L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11}, t) = 11^2 t^4 L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11}, 1/11t)$, the L -function factors over its splitting field as $(1 - \alpha t)(1 - (11/\alpha)t)(1 - \beta t) \times (1 - (11/\beta)t)$, for some α, β . So if we set $(1 - \alpha t)(1 - (11/\alpha)t) = 1 + ut + 11t^2$, $(1 - \beta t)(1 - (11/\beta)t) = 1 + vt + 11t^2$, we can take $u = 2 + 2\sqrt{5}$, $v = 2 - 2\sqrt{5}$. Therefore if $\zeta_5 = e^{2\pi i/5}$, then $\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1} = (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$, and solving the quadratics we get

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= -2\zeta_5^2 - 2\zeta_5^3 \pm (\zeta_5 - \zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^3 - \zeta_5^4), \\ \beta &= -2\zeta_5 - 2\zeta_5^4 \pm (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^2 - \zeta_5^3 - \zeta_5^4). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{P} be a prime of K above 11, and suppose that the absolute norm of \mathcal{P} is 11^f . Then \mathcal{P} is a prime of good reduction for C , and so also for J and E_1 and E_2 .

Let ℓ be a prime not dividing 11. Recall that for any s , the Frobenius Fr_{11^s} on $J(\tilde{C})$ over \mathbb{F}_{11^s} induces an endomorphism $\text{Fr}_{11^s}^*$ on the Tate module $T_\ell(J(\tilde{C}))$, and that $L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11^s}, t) = \det(1 - \text{Fr}_{11^s}^* | T_\ell(J(\tilde{C})))$. For any prime ℓ not dividing the degree of ϕ , we get an isomorphism $T_\ell(J(C)) \cong T_\ell(E_1) \times T_\ell(E_2)$ over K , and so using the functional equations of $L(\tilde{E}_i/\mathbb{F}_{11^f}, t)$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11^f}, t) &= L(\tilde{E}_1/\mathbb{F}_{11^f}, t)L(\tilde{E}_2/\mathbb{F}_{11^f}, t) \\ &= (1 - a_1 t + 11^f t^2)(1 - a_2 t + 11^f t^2), \end{aligned}$$

for some integers a_1 and a_2 . But the Frobenius on $J(C)$ over \mathbb{F}_{11^f} is $(\text{Fr}_{11})^f$, so

$$(4) \quad L(\tilde{C}/\mathbb{F}_{11^f}, t) = (1 - \alpha^f t)(1 - (11/\alpha)^f t)(1 - \beta^f t)(1 - (11/\beta)^f t).$$

Renumbering E_1 and E_2 if necessary, from (3) and (4) we can set

$$(1 - \alpha^f t)(1 - (11/\alpha)^f t) = (1 - a_1 t + 11^f t^2),$$

which means that $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^f) : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$ or 2 . Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, which has a unique quadratic subfield, we can assume $\alpha^f \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. If we let σ denote complex conjugation, then $\alpha^f = \sigma(\alpha^f) = (\sigma(\alpha))^f$; so $(\alpha/\sigma(\alpha))^f = 1$. Therefore $(\alpha/\sigma(\alpha))$ is a root of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$, and hence a 10^{th} -root of unity. Therefore $\alpha^{10} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. But a calculation with (2) shows this is not the case, so $J(C)$ is absolutely simple.

REFERENCES

[Ch] C. Chabauty, *Sur les points rationnels des courbes algébriques de genre supérieur à unité*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A-B **212** (1941), 882–884.
 [Co] R. Coleman, *Effective Chabauty*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 765–770.

- [F] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.
- [GG] D. Gordon and D. Grant, *Computing the Mordell-Weil rank of Jacobians of curves of genus 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **337** (1993), 807–824.
- [M] W. McCallum, *The method of Chabauty-Coleman and the second case of Fermat's Last Theorem for regular primes*, preprint.
- [T] J. Top, *Hecke L-series related with algebraic cycles or with Siegel modular forms*, Thesis, Rijksuniversiteit te Utrecht, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO AT BOULDER, BOULDER, COLORADO 80309-0395

E-mail address: `grant@boulder.colorado.edu`