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#### Abstract

For primes $p>3$ we produce a new derivation of the universal $p$-adic sigma function and $p$-adic Weierstrass zeta functions of Mazur and Tate for elliptic curves with good ordinary or multiplicative reduction by a method that highlights congruences among coefficients in Laurent expansions of elliptic functions, and works simultaneously for generalized elliptic curves defined by Weierstrass equations.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer $\pi$ of residue characteristic $p>2$, and $E$ an elliptic curve over $A$ with good ordinary or multiplicative reduction modulo $\pi$. In the 1980s Mazur and Tate introduced a " $p$-adic sigma function $\sigma_{E / A}$ "

[^0]defined on the kernel of reduction of $E$ modulo $\pi$, which shares many of the functiontheoretic properties of the classical complex-valued sigma function. It is a power series in one variable over $A$, which they used to compute $p$-adic local heights of points on elliptic curves in their investigations of the p-adic Birch Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture [MST], [MTT].

The details of the construction appeared in a 1991 paper [MT]. In it they defined division polynomials for arbitrary isogenies of elliptic curves. They then constructed $\sigma_{E / A}$ using limits of normalized division polynomials for the isogenies $E_{n} \rightarrow E$ dual to the isogeny $E \rightarrow E_{n}$ gotten by modding out $E$ by the $p^{n}$-torsion in the kernel of reduction modulo $\pi$. They also gave a multitude of equivalent conditions that uniquely characterize $\sigma_{E / A}$ (see §3).

This circle of ideas has attracted the attention of a number of authors. Independently, using an idea he attributed to Mumford, Norman used algebraic theta functions to construct essentially the same function [N]. His construction worked for ordinary abelian varieties of any dimension. Norman also recognized his function as one of a class constructed earlier by Barsotti and Cristante [Cr1] (but one that satisfies an integrality condition). Simultaneously, Cristante himself used his earlier work directly to produce integral theta functions [Cr2]. Mazur and Tate provide references to earlier related results, and interpret the existence of $\sigma_{E / A}$ in terms of biextensions of $E \times E$ by $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ and the cubical structures of Breen $[\mathrm{Br}]$. An alternative interpretation of $\sigma_{E / A}$ for $A$ an extension of the $p$-adic numbers was given by Balakrishnan and Besser, who showed that the logarithm of $\sigma_{E / A}$ is a Coleman function [BB]. When $A$ has characteristic $p$, Papanikolas gave a different explicit formula for $\sigma_{E / A}[\mathrm{P}]$.

Mazur and Tate also showed that their construction carried over to more general base schemes, and having done so, could be used to define a " $\sigma$-functor" for ordinary elliptic curves over the category of formal adic schemes for which $p$ can be taken as an ideal of definition, uniquely determined by being compatible with base change, and by recovering their construction above for elliptic curves over complete DVRs with good ordinary reduction.

For understanding such an important function, one can never have too many arrows in one's quiver. The goal of this paper is come up with a different construction of a "universal $p$-adic sigma function," a power series attached to a generic Weierstass equation, that specializes to produce $\sigma_{E / A}$ for any elliptic curve with good ordinary or multiplicative reduction over a complete $\operatorname{DVR} A$ with residue characteristic $p>3$.

To motivate our construction, we recall one of Mazur and Tate's equivalent formulations of $\sigma_{E / A}$ : Let $A$ be a complete DVR of characteristic 0 and residue characteristic $p>3, F$ its field of fractions, and $E$ be given by a Weierstrass model $y^{2}=x^{3}+a_{4} x+a_{6}$, $a_{4}, a_{6} \in A$. Let $t=-x / y$, a parameter at the origin $O$ on the generic fibre of $E$, and $D$ the $F$-derivation on the function field of $E$ determined by $D(x)=2 y$. Then expanding $x$ at $O$, standard calculations (see [Si] IV.1) show one can consider $x$ as an element of $A((t))$, the ring of Laurent series in $t$ with coefficients in $A$, and that $D$ extends to an $A$-derivation of $A((t))$. Then $\sigma_{E / A}$ is the unique odd power-series in $t$ over $A$ whose lead
term is $t$, such that $D\left(D\left(\sigma_{E / A}\right) / \sigma_{E / A}\right)$ is $-x$ plus an element in $A$. (This characterization was the basis of Algorithm 3.1 in [MST].)

We take this as our starting point. Let $p>3$ be prime. For independent indeterminates $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$, let $\mathcal{E}$ be the projective closure of the curve given by

$$
y^{2}=f(x)=x^{3}+A_{4} x+A_{6}
$$

over $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]\left[A_{4}, A_{6}\right]$. Let $H$ be the coefficient of $x^{p-1}$ in the expansion of $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2}$, which reduces to the Hasse-invariant of $\mathcal{E}$ modulo $p$ on the locus where it is elliptic.

Let $R_{H}=R\left[\frac{1}{H}\right]$ and $\hat{R}=\underset{{ }_{n}}{\lim _{H}} R_{H} / p^{n} R_{H}$ be its $p$-completion. We show in $\S 2$ that $\mathcal{E}$, now considered as a curve over $\hat{R}$, defines a generalized elliptic curve with at worst nodal fibres [Co1], which is ordinary where elliptic (in short, a "Weierstrass ordinary generalized elliptic curve") and we show in fact that $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal Weierstrass ordinary generalized elliptic curve over $p$-complete rings. (We say a ring $B$ is $p$-complete if the natural map $B \rightarrow \underset{{ }_{n}}{\lim _{n}} B / p^{n} B$ is an isomorphism.)

Let $\hat{K}$ be the fraction field of $\hat{R}$. Also let $t=-x / y$, a parameter at the origin $O$ on the generic fibre of $\mathcal{E}$, and $D$ be the $\hat{K}$-derivation on the function field of $\mathcal{E}$ determined by $D(x)=2 y$. As above, expanding $x$ at $O$, one can consider $x$ as an element $x(t)$ of $\hat{R}((t))$, the ring of Laurent series in $t$ with coefficients in $\hat{R}$, and one can show that $D$ extends to an $\hat{R}$-derivation of $\hat{R}((t))$ (see $\S 2$ for details). Let $\hat{R}[[t]]$ denote the ring of power series in $t$ with coefficients in $\hat{R}$. The same standard calculations show that $D t$ is invertible in $\hat{R}[[t]$, and we set $W(t)=1 / D t$.

We will construct the universal $p$-adic sigma function $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ attached to $\mathcal{E} / R$, which is the unique power series in $\hat{R}[[t]$, odd under $t \mapsto-t$, and with lead term $t$, such that $D\left(D \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right)+x(t) \in \hat{R}$. The logarithmic derivative $D \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ will be the "universal $p$-adic Weierstrass zeta function" $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$.

In practice, we work in the opposite direction, constructing $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ first. In brief detail, let $\hat{E}$ be the elliptic curve which is the basechange of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\hat{K}$. In Proposition 12, for all $n \geq 1$, we study the unique function $z_{n}$ on $\hat{E}$, which is regular except at the origin, and whose expansion there is of the form $t^{-p^{n}}+H_{n} / t+I_{n}$, for some $H_{n} \in \hat{K}$, $I_{n} \in \hat{K}[[t]]$. We show in fact that this expansion lies in $\hat{R}((t))$. Note that $z_{1} \bmod p$ was central in Hasse's study of his now eponymous invariant [Has], which is also given by $H_{1} \bmod p$ (see also [Vo]). Since $H=H_{1} \bmod p, H_{1}$ is invertible in $\hat{R}$, and from that one can show that all $H_{n}$ are invertible in $\hat{R}$. Letting $\zeta_{n}=H_{n}^{-1}\left(t^{-p^{n}}-z_{n}\right)$, we show that $D\left(\zeta_{n}\right)+x$ is congruent $\bmod p^{n}$ to some constant in $\hat{R}$, so if $\zeta$ is the term-by-term limit of the $\zeta_{n}$, it is not hard to show that it is the universal $p$-adic Weierstrass zeta function. We note that the uniqueness of $z_{n}$ shows it is an odd function on $\hat{E}$, and so $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ is odd in $t$.

Now set $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-D t / t$, which lies in $\hat{R}[[t]]$. Let $\Lambda(t)$ denote the integral with respect to $t$ of $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) W(t)$ in $\left.\hat{R}[t t]\right] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ that has no constant term. Then if we set $\tilde{\sigma}(t)=\exp (\Lambda(t))$, we get an even power series in $t$ with constant term 1 and with
coefficients in $\hat{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If we then define $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=t \tilde{\sigma}(t)$, an odd power series in $t$ with lead term $t$, a calculation shows that $D \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$, and the main goal of the paper is to show that $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ actually has coefficients that lie in $\hat{R}$. We will do that by using a version of Hazewinkel's functional equation lemma applied to $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ (see Corollary 17). That requires two things:
I) We need an endomorphism $\alpha: \hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{R}$ that lifts the Frobenius on $\hat{R} / p \hat{R}$. We achieve this by finding a canonical subgroup $C$ of order $p$ in $\hat{E}$, and writing down a Weierstrass model for $E^{\prime}=\hat{E} / C$ of the form $y^{\prime 2}=x^{\prime 3}+A_{4}^{\prime} x+A_{6}^{\prime}$, normalized so that if $\phi$ is the natural isogeny from $\hat{E}$ to $E^{\prime}$, and $\omega^{\prime}=d x^{\prime} / 2 y^{\prime}$, then $\phi^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=\frac{p}{H} \omega$. We show in Proposition 20 that $A_{4}^{\prime}, A_{6}^{\prime} \in \hat{R}$, and that we can take $\alpha$ to be the endomorphism on $\hat{R}$ induced by $A_{4} \rightarrow A_{4}^{\prime}, A_{6} \rightarrow A_{6}^{\prime}$.
II) We need a functional equation for $\Lambda(t)$, which we obtain in Proposition 26 by proving that

$$
\Lambda(t)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(\Lambda)\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \hat{R}[[t]]
$$

where $t^{\prime}=-x^{\prime} / y^{\prime}$, and we extend $\alpha$ to a map on $\hat{R}[[t]] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by acting on coefficients.
These constructions are given in $\S 2$. We will also need to verify in $\S 3$ that $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ universally satisfies at least one of the other equivalent characterizations of $\sigma_{E / A}$ given by Mazur and Tate, to guarantee that $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ specializes to $\sigma_{E / A}$ when $A$ is an equicharacteristic complete DVR as well. Once having done this, it is a formality in $\S 4$ to verify that $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ can be used to recover the $\sigma$-functor of Mazur and Tate.

Our motivation for finding a different approach to the construction of $p$-adic sigma functions was to provide a potential path to generalizations to curves of higher genus and abelian varieties of higher dimension. Indeed some of this work - in many ways more hands-on than [MT] - proved useful in the PhD thesis of the first-named author, who constructs the universal $p$-adic sigma function for jacobians of curves of genus 2 in a form amenable for calculation [Bl].

We would like to thank the referee for numerous helpful suggestions that greatly improved the exposition of these results.

While this paper was in revision, our friend John Tate passed away. It is our honor to dedicate this paper to his memory.

## 2. Preliminaries and statements of results

### 2.1. On WOGECs

Let $p>3$ be a prime. All rings will be commutative with identity.
As in the Introduction, let $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$ be independent indeterminates over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the projective closure of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=f(x)=x^{3}+A_{4} x+A_{6} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

over $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]\left[A_{4}, A_{6}\right]$. We standardly set $C_{4}=-48 A_{4}, C_{6}=864 A_{6}$, and $\Delta=-16\left(4 A_{4}^{3}+\right.$ $\left.27 A_{6}^{2}\right)=\left(C_{4}^{3}-27 C_{6}^{2}\right) / 1728$. We let $H$ be the coefficient of $x^{(p-1) / 2}$ in $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2}$.

To fix notation, for a given ring $A$, we will often specialize (1) to an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+a_{4} x+a_{6}, a_{4}, a_{6} \in A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\delta, c_{4}, c_{6}$, and $h$ denote the corresponding specializations of $\Delta, C_{4}, C_{6}$, and $H$.
Indeed, one case we will consider is that $A$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions $F$, and (2) is a minimal model over $A$ of an elliptic curve $E$ over $F$ which has multiplicative reduction. In that case (2) is not elliptic over $A$, but rather an example of a generalized elliptic curve over $A .^{2}$

Remark 1. We do not require anything from the theory of generalized elliptic curves, but the following is motivation for the definition below: (I) a Weierstrass cubic over a $\operatorname{ring} A$ whose geometric fibres are either elliptic curves or nodal cubics is a generalized elliptic curve. If 6 is invertible in $A$, we can change models to put it in the form (2); (II) [Co2] explains that the Riemann-Roch Theorem shows that any generalized elliptic curve with geometrically irreducible fibres and a choice of section through the smooth locus can be given locally on the base by a Weierstrass cubic (for details over a locally noetherian base scheme, see $\S 2.25$ in [Hi]).

Recall we say an elliptic curve in characteristic $p$ is ordinary if its Hasse invariant is nonzero. We refer the reader to $[\mathrm{KM}] 12.4$, and [L] Appendix 2, §5, for equivalent characterizations of the Hasse invariant, one of which is (for $p>3$ ) that it's given by $h$ for an elliptic curve defined by an equation (2) over a ring of characteristic $p$.

Definition 2. Let $A$ be a ring where 6 is invertible.

1) A Weierstrass generalized elliptic curve over $A$ is a curve over $A$ defined by a Weierstrass equation as in (2), all of whose fibres over geometric points are either elliptic curves or nodal cubics. Any two are said to be isomorphic over $A$ if there a unit $u \in A$ such that $a_{4}^{\prime}=u^{4} a_{4}$ and $a_{6}^{\prime}=u^{6} a_{6}$.
2) A Weierstrass ordinary generalized elliptic curve (WOGEC) over $A$ is a Weierstrass generalized elliptic curve over $A$ whose elliptic fibres over geometric points are ordinary.
[^1]Important examples of WOGECs are the minimal models of elliptic curves over complete DVRs that have either good ordinary or multiplicative reduction.

For $p>3$, we will see shortly that there is a convenient way to characterize WOGECs over a ring $A$ whose closed points all have residue characteristic $p$. For that we recall from [Si], III, §1, that for a curve (2) defined over a field, it is singular exactly when $\delta=0$, in which case it is a nodal cubic if and only if $c_{4} \neq 0$. First we need a lemma.

Lemma 3. Suppose $p>3$. Then $H^{2} \equiv C_{4}^{(p-1) / 2} \bmod (p, \Delta)$.
Proof. Since $p>3,2$ and 3 are invertible in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, so $R /(p, \Delta)=\mathbb{Z}\left[C_{4}, C_{6}\right] /(p, \Delta)$. Let $C_{2}$ be an indeterminate. Since $1728 \Delta=C_{4}^{3}-C_{6}^{2}$, there is an injection $\mathbb{Z}\left[C_{4}, C_{6}\right] /(p, \Delta) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}\left[C_{2}\right] /(p)$ given by $C_{4}=C_{2}^{2}, C_{6}=C_{2}^{3}$. Viewing $H$ as a polynomial in $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$, it now suffices to show that $H\left(-C_{2}^{2} / 48, C_{2}^{3} / 864\right) \equiv C_{2}^{(p-1) / 2} \bmod p$. But when $A_{4}=-C_{2}^{2} / 48$ and $A_{6}=C_{2}^{3} / 864, f(x)=\left(x-C_{2} / 12\right)^{2}\left(x+C_{2} / 6\right)$, and then it is easy to verify that the coefficient of $x^{p-1}$ in $f^{(p-1) / 2}$ is the same modulo $p$ as the coefficient of $x^{p-1}$ in $\left(x^{2}\left(x+C_{2} / 4\right)\right)^{(p-1) / 2}$, which is $\left(C_{2} / 4\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \equiv C_{2}^{(p-1) / 2} \bmod p$, as needed.

Corollary 4. Let $p>3$ and $A$ be a ring whose closed points all have residue fields of characteristic $p$. Let $X$ be a Weierstrass generalized elliptic curve defined over $A$ by a model as in (2), and $h$ and $\delta$ the corresponding specializations from $R$ of $H$ and $\Delta$. Then $A=(\delta, h)$. If in addition $X$ is a WOGEC, $h$ is invertible in $A$.

Conversely, if $X$ is a projective curve over $A$ defined by a model of the form (2) such that $h$ is invertible in $A$, then $X$ is a WOGEC.

Proof. If the ideal $(\delta, h)$ were not the unit ideal in $A$, there would be a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ containing it. Let $\iota$ be an arbitrary embedding of the field $A / \mathfrak{m}$ into an algebraic closure $\overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$. Then $\iota(\delta)=0$ so $X$ is not elliptic over $\overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$. By the definition of Weierstrass generalized elliptic curve, $X$ must be a nodal cubic over $\overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$, which means $\iota\left(c_{4}\right) \neq 0$ and so $c_{4}$ cannot be in $\mathfrak{m}$. Together with the fact that $p$ must be in $\mathfrak{m}$ by assumption, Lemma 3 forces $\iota(h) \neq 0$ and hence $h$ cannot be in $\mathfrak{m}$, contrary to assumption.

Now assume in addition that $X$ is a WOGEC. If $h$ were not a unit, it would be contained in a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. By the above, $\delta$ is not in $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence for any embedding $\iota: A / \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$, we have $\iota(\delta) \neq 0$, so $X$ is not elliptic over $\overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$. But since $\iota(h)=0, X$ is not ordinary over $\overline{A / \mathfrak{m}}$. Thus $X$ cannot be a WOGEC unless $h$ is a unit.

Conversely, let $X$ be projective over $A$ given by equation (2) and suppose that $h$ is invertible in $A$. For those maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of $A$ containing $\delta$, Lemma 3 implies the associated fibres of $X$ must be nodal. This means $X$ is a Weierstrass generalized elliptic curve. For those maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ not containing $\delta$, the fibre of $X$ over $\mathfrak{m}$ has non-zero Hasse invariant so is ordinary, making $X$ a WOGEC.

Definition 5. Let $R_{H}=R\left[\frac{1}{H}\right]$, and $\hat{R}=\underset{{ }_{n}}{\lim _{H}} R_{H} / p^{n} R_{H}$ be its $p$-completion.

From now on we will consider $\mathcal{E}$ as a scheme over $\hat{R}$.

Definition 6. If $X$ is a WOGEC over a $p$-complete ring $A$ given by a model as in (2), and $\rho$ is a continuous ring homomorphism from $\hat{R}$ to $A$ such that $\rho\left(A_{4}\right)=a_{4}$ and $\rho\left(A_{6}\right)=a_{6}$, then we will say that $X$ is a $\rho$-specialization of $\mathcal{E}$ and write $X=\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$.

## Proposition 7.

1) $\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}$ is a WOGEC over $\hat{R}$.
2) $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal WOGEC over p-complete rings $A$ with $p>3$, in the sense that any WOGEC over $A$ is uniquely a $\rho$-specialization of $\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. 1) Since every element in $1+p \hat{R}$ is a unit, every maximal ideal of $\hat{R}$ contains $p$. Also $H$ is invertible in $\hat{R}$ by construction, so Corollary 4 gives the result.
2) Let $X$ be a WOGEC over a $p$-complete ring $A$ given by a model as in (2). All we need to show is that there is a unique continuous ring homomorphism from $\hat{R}$ to $A$ sending $A_{4} \rightarrow a_{4}$ and $A_{6} \rightarrow a_{6}$. There is a unique evaluation map $\rho: R \rightarrow A$ with this property, which send $H$ to $h$. Since all the geometrically closed points of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ have residue characteristic $p$, by Corollary $4, h$ is invertible in $A$. Hence $\rho$ extends uniquely to a ring homomorphism from $R_{H}$ to $A$. Since $A$ is $p$-complete, $\rho$ extends uniquely to a continuous ring homomorphism from $\hat{R}$ to $A$.

### 2.2. On derivations and expansions at infinity

The two affine schemes over $\hat{R}, U=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\hat{R}[x, y] /\left(y^{2}-x^{3}-A_{4} x-A_{6}\right)\right), V=$ $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\hat{R}[t, w] /\left(w-t^{3}-A_{4} t w^{2}-A_{6} w^{3}\right)\right)$ are an open cover of $\mathcal{E}$, glued together by $t=-x / y, w=-1 / y$ on their overlap.

We will denote the $\hat{R}$-point $(0,0)$ on $V$ by $\infty$. We note that $\infty$ is defined on $V$ by the ideal $I_{\infty}=(t, w)$ in the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(V)$ of $V$, and ([Si], IV, §1, Proposition 1.1, applied with $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ ) shows that we can identify $\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathcal{O}(V) / I_{\infty}^{n}$ with $\hat{R}[[t]]$, the ring of power series in $t$ with coefficients in $\hat{R}$, and we will consider $\mathcal{O}(V)$ as embedded in $\hat{R}[[t]]$. Let $\hat{K}$ denote the field of fractions of $\hat{R}$, so the function field $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ of $\mathcal{E}$ over $\hat{K}$ is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ or $\mathcal{O}(V)$. We will likewise consider $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ as embedded in $\hat{K}((t))$, the ring of Laurent series in $t$ with coefficients in $\hat{K}$, which is the fraction field of $\hat{R}[[t]]$.

On the generic fibre of $\mathcal{E}$ (which is elliptic), there is an invariant differential given by $\omega=\frac{d x}{2 y}$, which induces a $\hat{K}$-derivation $D$ on $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ by $D(g)=\frac{d g}{\omega}$. Computing this on $x$ and $y$ yields

$$
D(x)=2 y, D(y)=f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+A_{4} .
$$

Note that $D(x)$ and $D(y)$ are in $\mathcal{O}(U)$, and that $x$ and $y$ generate $\mathcal{O}(U)$ over $\hat{R}$. Because the only relation on $x$ and $y$ is $y^{2}=f(x)$, and $D(x)$ and $D(y)$ are consistent with the equation $D\left(y^{2}\right)=D(f(x)), D$ restricts to an $\hat{R}$-derivation $D: \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(U)$.

Similarly, one computes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(t)=(x D(y)-y D(x)) / y^{2}=1-2 A_{4} t w-3 A_{6} w^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
D(w)=D(y) / y^{2}=3 t^{2}+A_{4} w^{2}
$$

which are consistent with the equation $D(w)=D\left(t^{3}+A_{4} t w^{2}+A_{6} w^{3}\right)$, so $D$ restricts to an $\hat{R}$-derivation $D: \mathcal{O}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(V)$. It follows furthermore from (3) that $D$ extends to an $\hat{R}$-derivation on $\hat{R}[[t]]$, and thence to an $\hat{R}$-derivation on the ring $\hat{R}((t))$ of Laurent series in $t$ over $\hat{R}$.

Suppose that $\rho$ is a continuous map from $\hat{R}$ to a $p$-complete ring $S$. If $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$ is the $\rho$ specialization of $\mathcal{E}$, we can view it as the base change $\operatorname{Spec}(S) \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(\hat{R})} \mathcal{E}$ induced by $\rho$, whose second projection we denote by $p_{2}$. We can then analogously define the $S$ derivation $D_{\rho}$ on $\mathcal{O}\left(p_{2}^{-1}(U)\right)$ such that $D_{\rho}(x)=2 y$, which mutatis mutandis extends to $\mathcal{O}\left(p_{2}^{-1}(V)\right)$ and then to $S((t))$. It follows that if we let $\rho$ also denote the map from $\hat{R}((t))$ to $S((t))$ gotten by letting $\rho$ acts on coefficients of Laurent series, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\rho} \circ \rho=\rho \circ D \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By abuse of notation we will also let $D$ denote $D_{\rho}$, and then abbreviate (4) by saying that $D$ commutes with $\rho$-specialization. In particular, $D$ will then commute with reduction $\bmod p \hat{R}$.

In $\hat{R}((t))$, we standardly get that the expansions of $\omega / d t, x$, and $y$ in terms of $t$ have the forms ([Si], IV, §1, setting $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\omega}{d t}:=W(t):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{n} t^{n} \in 1+t^{4} \hat{R}[[t]], x(t) \in \frac{1}{t^{2}}+t^{2} \hat{R}[[t]], y(t) \in-\frac{1}{t^{3}}+t \hat{R}[[t]] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We note that these calculations work for Weierstrass equations defined over any ring, and do not require the discriminant of the Weierstrass equation to by invertible in the ring.)

With this we get $D t=1 / W(t)$, and hence the action of $D$ on an element $a(t) \in \hat{R}((t))$ is $D(a(t))=\frac{1}{W(t)} \frac{d a}{d t}$, and more generally for $\rho$ and $S$ as above, that for any element $b(t) \in S((t))$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(b(t))=\frac{1}{\rho(W)(t)} \frac{d b}{d t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3. On weights

We consider $R=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{6}\right]\left[A_{4}, A_{6}\right]$ as an $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring by giving elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ weight 0 and $A_{4}$ a weight of 4 and $A_{6}$ a weight of 6 (hence the subscripts). These weights are specifically chosen to match their weights as modular forms (see Remark 27).

We can then extend this grading to the ring $R[x]$ by giving $x$ a weight of 2 . Then $f(x)=x^{3}+A_{4} x+A_{6}$ is homogeneous of weight of 6 , so the weight extends to the quotient ring $R[x, y] /\left(y^{2}-f(x)\right)$ by giving $y$ a weight of 3 . The weight then extends uniquely to its fraction field, which is then a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring, whereby $t=-x / y$ has weight -1 .

Note that $f(x)^{(p-1) / 2}$ is homogeneous of weight $3(p-1)$, so its coefficient $H$ of $x^{p-1}$ is homogeneous of weight $p-1$. Hence the weight extends to the localization $R_{H}$ of $R$ which is then a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded ring.

We defined the $p$-completion $\hat{R}$ of $R$ as the inverse limit as rings over $m$ of $R_{H} / p^{m} R_{H}$, which is not a graded ring in the weight inherited from $R_{H}$. However, $\hat{R}$ has a graded subring $\hat{R}_{g}$ which we can identify with the inverse limit as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded rings of the $R_{H} / p^{m} R_{H}$.

To do so, for any integer $n$, let $\left(R_{H}\right)_{n}$ denote the subgroup of homogeneous elements of $R_{H}$ of weight $n$, and define the subgroup $\hat{R}_{n}$ of $\hat{R}$ as the inverse limit over $m$ of the groups $\left(R_{H}\right)_{n} / p^{m}\left(R_{H}\right)_{n}$. We set $\hat{R}_{g}=\oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{R}_{n}$. We will only use the word "weight" to apply to an element of $\hat{R}$ if it lies in some $\hat{R}_{n}$.

Note however for any $\alpha \in \hat{R}$, it is the limit of its reductions $\alpha_{m} \bmod p^{m}$, each of which is a finite sum of its homogeneous components $\alpha_{m, n}$. Hence if we set $\beta_{n}:=$ $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{m, n} \in \hat{R}_{n}$, and $\gamma_{T, m}:=\sum_{|n| \leq T} \alpha_{m, n}$, then for every $M$ there is an $T=T(M)$ such that $\gamma_{T, m} \equiv \alpha_{m} \bmod p^{m}$ for all $m \leq M$. Hence if $\gamma_{T}:=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{T, m}=\sum_{|n| \leq T} \beta_{n}$, then $\alpha$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$
\alpha=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{T}
$$

which shows how $\alpha$ is uniquely determined by its homogeneous components $\beta_{n}$.
For every $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, we define the grade preserving automorphism $g r_{\kappa}$ of $R \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ that send $A_{4} \rightarrow \kappa^{4} A_{4}$ and $A_{6} \rightarrow \kappa^{6} A_{6}$. Since $g r_{\kappa}(H)=\kappa^{p-1} H$, the map extends to $R_{H} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and thence to $\hat{R}$, since it commutes with reduction $\bmod p^{m}$. Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $\xi \in \hat{R}_{n}, g r_{\kappa}(\xi)=\kappa^{n} \xi$. Now suppose that $\kappa$ is of infinite order in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Using the notation above, if $\alpha \in \hat{R}$ has the property that $g r_{\kappa}(\alpha)=\kappa^{n} \alpha$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for any $n^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $g r_{\kappa}\left(\beta_{n^{\prime}}\right)=\kappa^{n} \beta_{n^{\prime}}$, so if $n^{\prime} \neq n, \beta_{n^{\prime}}=0$, and hence $\alpha \in \hat{R}_{n}$. We will use this observation without further comment.

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, by the reasoning above, the map $g r_{\kappa}$ extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ by setting $g r_{\kappa}(x)=\kappa^{2} x$ and $g r_{\kappa}(y)=\kappa^{3} y$. It then extends to an automorphism of its fraction field $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$. We will define the weight $n$ elements in $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ to be the elements which get multiplied by $\kappa^{n}$ under $g r_{\kappa}$ for all $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$.

Note that for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, since we have $g r_{\kappa}(t)=\kappa^{-1} t$ and $g r_{\kappa}(w)=\kappa^{-3} t, g r_{\kappa}$ on $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ restricts to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}(V)$, which then extends to a continuous
automorphism of $\hat{R}[[t]]$. Likewise the automorphism $g r_{\kappa}$ on $\hat{K}(\mathcal{E})$ extends to a continuous automorphism of $\hat{K}((t))$.

### 2.4. Statements of results

Our goal is to show the following, originally due to Mazur and Tate ([MT], Appendix II).

Theorem 8. There is a unique power series $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ in $\hat{R}[[t]]$, odd under $t \rightarrow-t$, and with lead term $t$, such that $D\left(\frac{D\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right)}{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)}\right)+x(t)$ is some element $\beta \in \hat{R}$. We call $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ the universal p-adic sigma function.

Theorem 9. There is a unique Laurent series $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ in $1 / t+\hat{R}[[t]]$, odd under $t \rightarrow-t$, such that $D\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right)+x(t)$ is some element $\beta \in \hat{R}$. We call $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ the universal $p$-adic Weierstrass zeta function.

Given $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ it follows that $D\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$, which is the order of construction done by Mazur and Tate. We will reverse the order by first constructing $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$, and then showing there is a unique $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$, odd under $t \rightarrow-t$ and having lead term $t$, such that $D\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$.

The following is now formal since the expansions in (5) hold over any specialization of $\hat{R}$.

Corollary 10. Let $p>3$. If $X$ is a WOGEC over a $p$-complete ring $A$ given by a model as in (2), and $\rho$ is a continuous ring homomorphism from $\hat{R}$ to $A$ such that $\rho\left(A_{4}\right)=a_{4}$ and $\rho\left(A_{6}\right)=a_{6}$ (so $X$ is a $\rho$-specialization of $\mathcal{E}$ ), then letting $\rho$ act on coefficients of Laurent series, and setting $\sigma_{X / A}(t)=\rho\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\right)(t), \zeta_{X / A}(t)=\rho\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\right)(t)$, we have $D\left(\sigma_{X / A}(t)\right) / \sigma_{X / A}(t)=\zeta_{X / A}(t)$, and $D\left(\zeta_{X / A}(t)\right)+x(t)=\rho(\beta)$, where $D$ acts on $A((t))$ as in (6).

Remark 11. It may be helpful to explain the role of some of the hypotheses that go into Theorem 8 . Suppose $A$ is a $p$-complete DVR of characteristic 0 , and $X$ is an elliptic curve over $A$ with ordinary or multiplicative reduction, given by a model of the form (2).

If $a$ is any element in the fraction field $F$ of $A$, then there is a unique odd power series $\sigma_{a}(t)$ in $F[[t]]$ with lead term $t$ such that $D\left(\frac{D \sigma_{a}(t)}{\sigma_{a}(t)}\right)=-x+a$. However, there is a unique $\beta$ (which necessarily lies in $A$ ) such that $\sigma_{\beta}(t)$ has coefficients in $A$, or even has coefficients which have bounded powers of $p$ in their denominators. (If instead $X$ had supersingular reduction, then no $\sigma_{a}(t)$ could have $p$-bounded coefficients. See [BKY] for a discussion, especially in the supersingular case.)

Furthermore, if we relax the requirement that $\sigma_{a}$ be odd, for a given $a$ in $F$, we can consider the full set of $\theta_{a}(t)$ in $F[[t]]$ with lead term $t$ such that $D\left(\frac{D \theta_{a}(t)}{\theta_{a}(t)}\right)=-x+a$. But still only the $\theta_{\beta}(t)$ (for the same $\beta$ in $A$ as above) can have coefficients with $p$-bounded
denominators. In the case that $X$ has multiplicative reduction, the $p$-adic theta functions of Tate [T] give a family of such examples.

## 3. The constructions

We will now carry out the constructions of $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$, and show that they satisfy Theorem 9 and Theorem 8, respectively.

### 3.1. The construction of the universal p-adic Weierstrass $\zeta$-function

Let $k$ be the fraction field of $\hat{R} / p \hat{R}$. Let $E$ be the basechange of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\hat{K}$ which is elliptic, and let $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ be the reduction of $\mathcal{E}$ over $\hat{R} / p \hat{R}$, which is elliptic over $k$ since $\Delta$ does not vanish identically as a polynomial modulo $p$. Recall $p>3$.

Proposition 12. For any divisor $\mathcal{D}$ on $E / \hat{K}$, we standardly let $L(\mathcal{D})$ denote the $\hat{K}$-vector space of functions $f$ on $E / \hat{K}$ such that $(f)+\mathcal{D}$ is effective or $f=0$. Let $O$ denote the origin on $E$.
a) For any $m \geq 2$, there is a unique element $\alpha_{m}$ of $L(m O) \cap \hat{R}[x, y]$ whose expansion in $t$ at the origin is of the form

$$
t^{-m}+r_{m} / t+s_{m} t+t^{3} u_{m}(t)
$$

for some $u_{m}(t) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$, where $r_{m}$ and $s_{m}$ are some polynomials in $\hat{R}$ of weights $m-1$ and $m+1$, respectively. Its uniqueness makes $\alpha_{m}$ odd if $m$ odd and even if $m$ even.
b) For any $n \geq 1$, there is a unique element $z_{n}$ of $L\left(p^{n} O\right) \cap \hat{R}[x, y]$ whose expansion in $t$ at the origin is of the form

$$
t^{-p^{n}}-H_{n} / t-J_{n} t+t^{3} v_{n}(t)
$$

for some $v_{n}(t) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$, where $H_{n}$ and $J_{n}$ are some polynomials in $\hat{R}$ of weights $p^{n}-1$ and $p^{n}+1$, respectively. Its uniqueness makes $z_{n}$ odd.
c) $H_{n}$ is a unit in $\hat{R}$, and $\zeta_{n}:=H_{n}^{-1}\left(t^{-p^{n}}-z_{n}\right)$ lies in $\frac{1}{t}+t \hat{R}[[t]]$.
d) Let $\beta_{n}=J_{n} / H_{n} \in \hat{R}$. Then $D \zeta_{n} \equiv-x+\beta_{n} \bmod p^{n}$.

Remark 13. Then as noted in the Introduction, the reduction of $z_{1}$ modulo $p$ was studied by Hasse in his seminal paper where he introduced what is now called the Hasse invariant, one of whose incarnations is $H_{1}$ modulo $p$ [Has]. That this agrees with what we are calling $H$ modulo $p$ is due to Deuring [Deu]. For our purposes the chief take-away from this equality is that $H_{1}$ is invertible in $\hat{R}$. We will need later that the coefficient of $x^{p(p-1) / 2}$ in the $p$-division polynomial attached to $E$ is another element in $\hat{R}$ that reduces modulo $p$ to the Hasse invariant of $\mathcal{E}_{p}$ [Der]. We will also use in Remark 27 that the polynomial
in $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$ which gives the Eisenstein series $\mathbb{E}_{p-1}$ when $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$ are considered as modular forms, reduces $\bmod p$ to the Hasse invariant of $\mathcal{E}_{p}$.

Proof. (a) We will proceed by induction. For $m \geq 2$, let $\tilde{\alpha}_{m}$ be $x^{\frac{m}{2}}$ when $m$ is even and $-y x^{\frac{m-3}{2}}$ when $m$ is odd. Then $\tilde{\alpha}_{m}$ is an element of $L(m O) \cap \hat{R}[x, y]$ and from (5), we find $\tilde{\alpha}_{m}$ is in $R((t))$ with lead term $\frac{1}{t^{m}}$. Again by (5), we can set $\alpha_{2}=x$. For $m \geq 3$, we will now recursively define $\alpha_{m} \in L(m O) \cap \hat{R}[x, y]$. Writing $\tilde{\alpha}_{m}=\frac{1}{t^{m}}+\frac{a_{-(m-1)}}{t^{m-1}}+$ $\cdots+\frac{a_{-2}}{t^{2}}+\frac{a_{-1}}{t}+a_{0}+a_{1} t+\cdots$, for some $a_{\ell}, \ell \geq-(m-1)$, in $\hat{R}$, we now set $\alpha_{m}$ to be $\tilde{\alpha}_{m}-\sum_{\ell=2}^{m-1} a_{-\ell} \alpha_{\ell}-a_{0}$. Then since the $a_{\ell}$ are in $\hat{R}$, we have that $\alpha_{m}$ is in $\hat{R}[x, y] \cap L(m O)$, and by design

$$
\alpha_{m}=\frac{1}{t^{m}}+\frac{r_{m}}{t}+0+s_{m} t+\cdots
$$

for some $r_{m}, s_{m} \in \hat{R}$.
If $\alpha_{m}^{\prime}$ were another function in $L(m O)$ whose expansion in $t$ was of the form $\frac{1}{t^{m}}+$ $\frac{r_{m}^{\prime}}{t}+0+s_{m}^{\prime} t+\cdots$, then $\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m}^{\prime} \in L(O)$, so would be a constant. That forces $r_{m}=r_{m}^{\prime}$, whence $\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{m}^{\prime}$ vanishes at the origin, so is 0 .

In particular, $\alpha_{m}^{\prime}=(-1)^{m}[-1]^{*} \alpha_{m}$ is of this form, so $(-1)^{m}[-1]^{*} \alpha_{m}=\alpha_{m}$, hence $\alpha_{m}$ is even when $m$ is even and odd when $m$ odd.

To compute weights, we can use that the weight of $t$ is -1 to evaluate $g r_{\kappa}\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$ for any $\kappa$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$of infinite-order, and find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g r_{\kappa}\left(\alpha_{m}\right) & =\frac{1}{g r_{\kappa}(t)^{m}}+\frac{g r_{\kappa}\left(r_{m}\right)}{g r_{\kappa}(t)}+0+g r_{\kappa}\left(s_{m}\right) g r_{\kappa}(t)+\cdots \\
& =\frac{\kappa^{m}}{t^{m}}+\frac{g r_{\kappa}\left(r_{m}\right) \kappa}{t}+0+\frac{g r_{\kappa}\left(s_{m}\right)}{\kappa} t+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

By the uniqueness of $\alpha_{m}$, we have that $\alpha_{m}=\kappa^{-m} g r_{\kappa}\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$. So $g r_{\kappa}\left(r_{m}\right)=\kappa^{m-1} r_{m}$ and $g r_{\kappa}\left(s_{m}\right)=\kappa^{m+1} s_{m}$. Hence $\alpha_{m}$ is of weight $m, r_{m}$ is of weight $m-1$, and $s_{m}$ is of weight $m+1$.
(b) This follows from (a) by taking $z_{n}=\alpha_{p^{n}}, H_{n}=-r_{p^{n}}, J_{n}=-s_{p^{n}}$, and noting that $z_{n}$ is odd since $p^{n}$ is.
(c) Since $z_{n} \in \hat{R}[x, y]$, it reduces modulo $p$ to a function $\bar{z}_{n}$ in $k[x, y]$, which therefore has poles only at the origin on $\mathcal{E}_{p}$, and an expansion there of the form

$$
t^{-p^{n}}-\gamma / t-\delta t+\ldots, \gamma, \delta \in \hat{R} / p \hat{R}
$$

where $\gamma=\bar{H}_{n}$ and $\delta=\bar{J}_{n}$, and a bar denotes reduction of elements in $\hat{R}$ modulo $p$. By the argument in (a), mutatis mutandi, $\bar{z}_{n}$ is the unique such function in $k[x, y]$ with an expansion of this form. In particular,

$$
\bar{z}_{n}=\left(\bar{z}_{n-1}\right)^{p}+\bar{H}_{n-1}^{p} \bar{z}_{1},
$$

hence $\bar{H}_{n}=\bar{H}_{n-1}^{p} \bar{H}_{1}$, which gives recursively that $\bar{H}_{n}=\bar{H}_{1}{ }^{1+p+\cdots+p^{n-1}}$. As explained in Remark $13, \bar{H}_{1}=\bar{H}$. Hence $H_{n}$ is invertible in $\hat{R}$ for all $n \geq 1$, and it makes sense to define $\zeta_{n}=H_{n}^{-1}\left(t^{-p^{n}}-z_{n}\right)$ in $\frac{1}{t}+t \hat{R}[[t]]$.
(d) By (5), $W(t)^{-1} \in \hat{R}[[t]]$ and is $1 \bmod t^{4}$. From (c), $-H_{n}^{-1} z_{n} \in \hat{R}[x, y]$, so $D\left(-H_{n}^{-1} z_{n}\right)$ is some polynomial $g(x, y)$ with coefficients in $\hat{R}$. Note that by the definition of $D$, the expansion at the origin of $g(x, y)$ is $-H_{n}^{-1} \frac{d z_{n}}{d t} W(t)^{-1}$, which is

$$
-H_{n}^{-1}\left(\frac{-p^{n}}{t^{p^{n}+1}}+\frac{H_{n}}{t^{2}}-J_{n}\right) \bmod t^{2}
$$

so

$$
g(x, y)=-1 / t^{2}+\beta_{n} \bmod \left(p^{n}, t^{2}\right)
$$

where $\beta_{n}=J_{n} / H_{n} \in \hat{R}$. Hence by (5), $g(x, y)=-x+\beta_{n} \bmod p^{n}$. Since $D\left(t^{p^{n}}\right) \equiv$ $0 \bmod p^{n}$, we get that $D \zeta_{n} \equiv-x+\beta_{n} \bmod p^{n}$.

Lemma 14. Let $g(t) \in \hat{R}((t))$ be a Laurent series such that $D(g(t)) \equiv c_{n} \bmod p^{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$ and some $c_{n} \in \hat{R}$. Then $c_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n}$.

Hence if $D(g(t))=c$ for some $c \in \hat{R}$, then $c=0$ and $g(t)$ is a constant.
Proof. We can rewrite the condition $D(g(t)) \equiv c_{n} \bmod p^{n}$ as $\frac{d g}{d t} \equiv c_{n} W(t) \bmod p^{n}$. Since the coefficient of $t^{p^{n}-1}$ in $\frac{d g}{d t}$ vanishes $\bmod p^{n}$, we have $c_{n} w_{p^{n}-1} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n}$. Note that the sum of the residues of $z_{n} \omega$ is 0 , and since the only pole is at the origin, its residue there must vanish. The residue is $w_{p^{n}-1}-H_{n}$, so $w_{p^{n}-1}=H_{n}$ is invertible mod $p$. Hence $c_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{n} .\left(\right.$ n.b.: That $w_{p-1} \equiv H_{1} \bmod p$ is in [Has].)

Proof of Theorem 9. Applying Proposition 12 to $\zeta_{n}$ and $\zeta_{n+1}$ shows that $\beta_{n+1} \equiv$ $\beta_{n} \bmod p^{n}$, so we can set $\beta=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{n} \in \hat{R}$. Proposition 12(d) shows that for every $m \geq 1,(-x(t)+\beta) W(t)$ is the derivative with respect to $t$ of a Laurent series in $t$ over $\hat{R} / p^{m} \hat{R}$, and hence for every $n \geq 1$, the coefficient of $t^{p n-1}$ in the expansion of $(-x(t)+\beta) W(t)$ vanishes $\bmod p n$. Therefore there is a Laurent series $\zeta(t) \in 1 / t+\hat{R}[[t]]$ with the property that $D(\zeta(t))=-x(t)+\beta$, and then by Lemma 14 we can make $\zeta(t)$ unique by specifying that it is odd in $t$ (in fact, it is then given by the term-by-term limits of the $\left.\zeta_{n}\right)$. Hence $\zeta(t)$ is the unique choice for $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$.

### 3.2. The construction of the universal p-adic $\sigma$-function

To remove the polar term, we define $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$ as

$$
\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-D t / t=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{1}{t} \frac{d t}{\omega}=\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{1}{t W(t)}
$$

Let $\log (1+t)=\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{n+1} \frac{t^{n}}{n}$, and $\exp (t)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^{n}}{n!}$, so we have in $\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ that $\exp (\log (1+t))=1+t$, and $\log (\exp (t))=t$. We let $\Lambda(t)$ denote the integral with respect to $t$ of $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) W(t)$ in $\hat{R}[[t]] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ that has no constant term, which will just write as

$$
\Lambda(t)=\int \tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) \omega
$$

which is even in $t$.

Definition 15. We define $\tilde{\sigma}(t)=\exp (\Lambda(t))$, as a power series in $t$ with coefficients in $\hat{R} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We then set $\sigma(t)=t \tilde{\sigma}(t)$.

Note that $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ is an even power series in $t$ whose constant term is 1 . Hence $\sigma(t)$ is an odd power series in $t$ with lead term $t$, and by construction, $\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=D(\sigma(t)) / \sigma(t)$.

Our goal is to show that $\sigma(t)$ (or equivalently $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ ) has coefficients in $\hat{R}$, so that $\sigma(t)$ will be the $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ promised in Theorem 8. We will do this by using Hazewinkel's functional equation lemma adapted to our situation (see [Haz], Chpt 1, Sect. 2; see also [Ho] Lemma 2.4). We standardly let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ denote the ring of fractions $(\mathbb{Z}-p \mathbb{Z})^{-1} \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 16 (Functional Equation Lemma). Let $B$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra and $\alpha: B \rightarrow B$ be an injective homomorphism such that for all $r \in B, \alpha(r) \equiv r^{p} \bmod p$. Suppose $B$ is an integral domain and let $F$ be its field of fractions. Let s be an indeterminate. Extend $\alpha$ to $F$ and then to $F[[s]]$ by acting on the coefficients of power series. Let $a, b \in s F[[s]]$ be such that $a(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(a)\left(s^{p}\right) \in B[[s]]$ and $b(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(b)\left(s^{p}\right) \in B[[s]]$. Then $b^{-1}(a(s)) \in B[[s]]$, where $b^{-1}$ denotes the power series in $F[[s]]$ such that $b^{-1}(b(s))=b\left(b^{-1}(s)\right)=s$.

Proof. This follows from the Functional Equation-Integrality Lemma in Section 1.2.2 of [Haz]. In the notation therein, take $A=B, K=F, \sigma=\alpha, a=p B, q=p, s_{1}=p^{-1}$, and $s_{2}=s_{3}=\cdots=0$. Then if we let $g=b(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(b)\left(s^{p}\right)$ and $\bar{g}=a(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(a)\left(s^{p}\right)$, it can be shown that $f_{g}=b(s)$ and $f_{\bar{g}}=a(s)$, in which case the result follows from [Haz] I.2.2(ii).

Corollary 17. With $F$ and $\alpha$ as in Lemma 16:
a) For any $a(s) \in s F[[s]]$ satisfying

$$
a(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(a)\left(s^{p}\right) \in B[[s]],
$$

we have that $\exp (a(s))$ has coefficients in $B$.
b) Suppose that $a \in s F[[s]]$ is such that da/ds is in $B[[s]]$, so $a=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n-1}}{n} s^{n}$ for some $c_{n} \in B$. Then $a(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(a)\left(s^{p}\right) \in B[[s]]$, if and only if for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
c_{n p-1} \equiv \alpha\left(c_{n-1}\right) \bmod p n
$$

c) Suppose $s^{\prime} \in s B[[s]]$ satisfies $s^{\prime} \equiv s^{p} \bmod p$. If $a=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{n-1}}{n} s^{n}$ for some $c_{n} \in B$, and if $a(s)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(a)\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ has coefficients in $B$, then $\exp (a(s))$ has coefficients in $B$.

Proof. (a) This follows from Lemma 16 since $\alpha$ is trivial on the prime subfield $\mathbb{Q}$ of $F$, $\log (1+s)-\frac{1}{p} \log \left(1+s^{p}\right)$ is in $B[[s]]$, and the inverse of $\log (1+s)$ is $\exp (s)-1$.
(b) This follows from comparing coefficients of $s^{n p}$.
(c) This follows from (a) and (b) since if in $B[[s]], s^{\prime} \equiv s^{p} \bmod p$, then for all $n \geq 1$, $\left(s^{\prime}\right)^{n} \equiv s^{p n} \bmod p n$.

Our goal now is to apply part (c) of this corollary to $\Lambda(t)$ when $B=\hat{R}, F=\hat{K}$, and $s=t$, which requires finding a ring endomorphism $\alpha$ of $\hat{R}$ that reduces to the Frobenius $\bmod p$, finding a suitable $s^{\prime}$, and verifying the requisite functional equation for $\Lambda$, which we now do in turn.

Let $X$ be an indeterminate. Since $\hat{R}$ is $p$-complete, [Ell] gives us a version of the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem for $\hat{R}[X]$. We call a power series $f=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} X^{i} \in$ $\hat{R}[[X]] p$-distinguished of order $n \geq 0$ if $a_{i} \equiv 0 \bmod p$ for $i<n$ and $a_{n}$ is a unit in $\hat{R}$. We call a monic polynomial $P \in \hat{R}[X]$ of degree $n$ a $p$-Weierstrass polynomial if $P \equiv X^{n} \bmod p$.

## Lemma 18.

(a) For any $g \in \hat{R}[[X]]$ that is $p$-distinguished of order $n \geq 0$, there exists a unique $p$-Weierstrass polynomial $P \in \hat{R}[X]$ of degree $n$ and a unique unit $U \in \hat{R}[[X]]$ such that $g=U P$.
(b) If in (a) we have $g \in \hat{R}[X]$, then in the factorization $g=U P, U \in \hat{R}[X]$.

Proof. Part (a) comes from [Ell] Theorem 1.3, and (b) is from [Ell] Lemma 3.5.
For any positive integer $n$, let $E[n]$ denote the $n$-torsion of $E$ in an algebraic closure $\overline{\hat{K}}$ of $\hat{K}$.

Proposition 19. Let $C \subset E[p]$ be the subset consisting of $O$ and the points of $E[p]$ whose $x$-coordinates are not integral over $\hat{R}$. Then $C$ is a subgroup of order $p$ defined over $\hat{K}$, called the canonical subgroup of $E$.

Proof. For any non-zero integer $n$ we let $\psi_{n}$ denote the $n^{t h}$-division polynomial for $E$, which is characterized by its divisor being $\sum_{u \in E[n]} u-n^{2} O$ and by $\left.t^{n^{2}-1} \psi_{n}\right|_{t=0}=n$. It is well-known (see [Was] chapter 3 for (i) and (iii) and [Cas] Theorem 1 for (ii)) that:
i) $\psi_{n}$ is in $\hat{R}[x]$ for $n$ odd, and in $2 y \hat{R}[x]$ for $n$ even, and that with our weights on $\hat{R}$, $x$ and $y$, each term of $\psi_{n}$ has weight $n^{2}-1$.
ii) $D\left(\psi_{p}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod p$.
iii) $\varphi_{n}:=\left([n]^{*} x\right) \psi_{n}^{2}$ is in $\hat{R}[x]$ and is monic of degree $n^{2}$.

In particular, since $p$ is odd, by (i) we have

$$
\psi_{p}(x)=p x^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2}+\sum_{n=0}^{\left(p^{2}-3\right) / 2} \ell_{n} x^{n}
$$

for some $\ell_{n}$ an integer polynomial in $A_{4}$ and $A_{6}$ which is of weight $p^{2}-1-2 n$. By (ii), $\ell_{n}$ is a multiple of $p$ if $n$ is not a multiple of $p$.

Most important for us is $\ell_{p(p-1) / 2}$, which is of weight $p-1$. In [Der] it is shown to be congruent to $H \bmod p$, so is invertible in $\hat{R}$. Hence $g(x):=x^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2} \psi_{p}(1 / x)$ is a polynomial whose lowest non-zero term modulo $p$ is $\ell_{p(p-1) / 2} x^{(p-1) / 2}$. So applying Lemma 18 when $X=x$ to $g$ gives that over $\hat{R}, g(x)=U(x) P(x)$ where $P(x)$ is a $p$ Weierstrass polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ (so in particular is monic), and $U(x)$ is of degree at most $\left(p^{2}-p\right) / 2$. If we let $\tilde{\ell}_{0}$ denote the constant term of $U(x)$, it is congruent to $\ell_{p(p-1) / 2}$ modulo $p$, so is a unit in $\hat{R}$. Setting $\pi(x)=\tilde{\ell}_{0} x^{(p-1) / 2} P(1 / x), \xi(x)=$ $\tilde{\ell}_{0}^{-1} x^{\left(p^{2}-p\right) / 2} U(1 / x)$, which are in $\hat{R}[x]$, we get that $\psi_{p}(x)=x^{\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 2} g(1 / x)=\pi(x) \xi(x)$. Since by design $\xi(x)$ is monic, we get that $\pi(x)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x)=p x^{(p-1) / 2}+\tilde{\ell}_{(p-3) / 2} x^{\frac{p-3}{2}}+\ldots .+\tilde{\ell}_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\tilde{\ell}_{i} \in \hat{R}, 1 \leq i \leq(p-3) / 2$. As a result, the $p^{2}-p$ points of $E[p]$ which are in the divisor of zeroes of $\xi(x)$ have $x$-coordinates which are integral over $\hat{R}$. On the other hand $p$ is prime in $\hat{R}$, and (7) shows that by Eisenstein's criterion, $P(x)$ - and hence $\pi(x)$ - is irreducible over $\hat{R}$. Therefore the $p-1$ points $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p-1}\right\}$ of $E[p]$ in the divisor of zeroes of $\pi(x)$ have $x$-coordinates which are not integral over $\hat{R}$. We set $C=\left\{O, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p-1}\right\}$.

It remains to be shown that $C$ is a subgroup of $E[p]$. We can show it is a cyclic subgroup of order $p$ by verifying that for every integer $i$ prime to $p$, we have $[i] C \subseteq C$, where $[i]$ denotes the multiplication-by- $i$ map on $E$. Note that $[i]$ induces an automorphism $[i]_{p}$ of $E[p]$, whose inverse is given by $[j]_{p}$ for any integer $j$ such that $j i \equiv 1 \bmod p$. Note $C$ is closed under $[i]_{p}$ if and only if its complement $\tilde{C}=E[p]-C$ is closed under $[i]_{p}$, i.e., $\tilde{C}$ is closed under $[j]_{p}^{-1}$. Note also that

$$
[j]_{p}^{-1} \tilde{C}=\{u \in E[j p] \mid[j] u \in \tilde{C}\} \cap E[p]
$$

so it suffices to show that for every $u \in E[j p]$ such that $[j] u \in \tilde{C}$, that the $x$-coordinate of $u$ is integral over $\hat{R}$. This however follows because these $x(u)$ are precisely the zeroes of $\xi\left([j]^{*} x\right)=\xi\left(\varphi_{j} / \psi_{j}^{2}\right)$, which since $j$ is prime to $p$ are the roots of $\xi\left(\varphi_{j} / \psi_{j}^{2}\right)\left(\psi_{j}\right)^{p^{2}-p}$, which by (iii) is a monic polynomial over $\hat{R}$.

Finally, since $C$ consists of the origin and the divisor of zeroes of $\pi(x)$, it is defined over $\hat{K}$.

Using the proposition, we now let $E^{\prime}=E / C$, and let $\phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ be the induced isogeny over $\hat{K}$. We note that there is not a unique Weierstrass model for $E^{\prime}$, but for each non-zero $\gamma \in \hat{K}$ there is a unique Weierstrass model

$$
E_{\gamma}^{\prime}: y_{\gamma}{ }^{2}=f_{\gamma}\left(x_{\gamma}\right)=x_{\gamma}{ }^{3}+A_{4, \gamma}^{\prime} x_{\gamma}+A_{6, \gamma}^{\prime}
$$

with $\omega_{\gamma}=d x_{\gamma} / 2 y_{\gamma}$, determined by the condition that $\phi^{*} \omega_{\gamma} / \omega=\gamma$. We will use $\phi$ to identify the function field $\hat{K}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ with a subfield of $\hat{K}(E)$.

A main technical result of the paper is the following, which says that with care we can find models for $E^{\prime}$ over $\hat{R}$, which define other WOGECs (n.b. [Co1] Example 2.1.6), and which will provide us with the map $\alpha$ needed in our applications of the functional equation lemma.

## Proposition 20.

a) The model $E_{p}^{\prime}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}^{2}=f_{p}\left(x_{p}\right)=x_{p}^{3}+A_{4, p}^{\prime} x_{p}+A_{6, p}^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{4, p}^{\prime}$ and $A_{6, p}^{\prime}$ are in $\hat{R}$ of weights 4 and 6 respectively, and reduce respectively to $A_{4}^{p} / H^{4}$ and $A_{6}^{p} / H^{6} \bmod p$. In addition, if $t_{p}=-x_{p} / y_{p}$, then $t_{p} \equiv H t^{p} \bmod p$.
b) The model $E_{p / H}^{\prime}$ is of the form

$$
y_{p / H}^{2}=x_{p / H}^{3}+A_{4, p / H}^{\prime} x_{p / H}+A_{6, p / H}^{\prime}
$$

where $A_{4, p / H}^{\prime}$ and $A_{6, p / H}^{\prime}$ are in $\hat{R}$ of weights $4 p$ and $6 p$ respectively, and reduce respectively to $A_{4}^{p}$ and $A_{6}^{p} \bmod p$.
c) Let $t_{p / H}=-x_{p / H} / y_{p / H}$. Then $t_{p / H}$ is in $\hat{R}[[t]]$, is odd in $t$, and there is a power series $v(t) \in 1+p t \hat{R}[[t]]$ such that

$$
t_{p / H}=\frac{1}{H}\left(t^{p} \pi(x)\right) v(t)
$$

where $\pi(x)$ is as in (7).

Proof. a) Let (8) be the model for $E_{p}^{\prime}$. We now want to verify the claims about $A_{4, p}^{\prime}, A_{6, p}^{\prime}$ and $t_{p}$. By way of notation, for any point $u$ of $E$, let $\tau_{u}$ denote the translation-by- $u$ map on $E$, and for any function $g$ in the function field $\hat{K}(E)$ of $E$ regular on $C$, let $N(g)=\prod_{u \in C}\left(\tau_{u}\right)^{*}(g)$ be the norm and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}(g)=\prod_{u \in C-O} g(u)=(N(g) / g)(O) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (7) that $N_{0}(x)=\tilde{\ell}_{0}^{2} / p^{2}$.

Now let $r_{i}, r_{i}^{\prime}, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ be respectively roots of $f$ and $f_{p}^{\prime}$ in an algebraic closure $\overline{\hat{K}}$ of $\hat{K}$, so $e_{i}=\left(r_{i}, 0\right)$ and $e_{i}^{\prime}=\left(r_{i}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ are non-trivial 2-torsion points on $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ respectively. Let $O_{E^{\prime}}$ denote the origin on $E^{\prime}$. Then $C=\phi^{-1}\left(O_{E^{\prime}}\right)$, and since $p$ is odd, reordering the $e_{i}^{\prime}$ if necessary, we can assume $\phi^{-1}\left(e_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{e_{i}}(C)$. Then comparing divisors, there are constants $c_{i}$ in $\overline{\hat{K}}$ such that $x_{p}-r_{i}^{\prime}=c_{i}^{2} N\left(x-r_{i}\right)$, and $y_{p}= \pm c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} N(y)$, so $x_{p}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i}^{2} N\left(x-r_{i}\right)$.

The expansion of $x_{p}-r_{i}^{\prime}$ in terms of $t$ has a lead term independent of $i$, which from (5) and (9) we see is $c_{i}^{2} N_{0}\left(x-r_{i}\right) / t^{2}$. There is therefore a constant $c \in \overline{\hat{K}}$ such that for all $i, c_{i}^{2}=c^{2} / N_{0}\left(x-r_{i}\right)$. Then $x_{p}$ has a lead term $c^{2} / t^{2}$, so by (8) and replacing $c$ by $-c$ if necessary, we can take $y_{p}$ to have a lead term $-c^{3} / t^{3}$, and so if $t_{p}=-x_{p} / y_{p}$, then $t_{p}$ has lead term $t / c$. Therefore $\phi^{*}\left(\omega_{p}\right)=\phi^{*}\left(d x_{p} / 2 y_{p}\right)=\omega / c$. Hence by design, $c=1 / p$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{p}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} N\left(x-r_{i}\right) / p^{2} N_{0}\left(x-r_{i}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $y_{p}$ has a lead term of $-1 / p^{3} t^{3}$, and by the above, is a constant times $N(y)$ whose lead term by definition is $N_{0}(y)$ times the lead term $-1 / t^{3}$ of $y$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{p}=N(y) / p^{3} N_{0}(y) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now set out to calculate $N\left(x-r_{i}\right)$.
We claim that for any $u \in C-O$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{u}^{*}(x)-r_{i}\right)\left(\tau_{-u}^{*}(x)-r_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(x-r_{i}\right)\left(\tau_{e_{i}}(x)-x(u)\right)}{x-x(u)}\right)^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed the divisor of both sides of (12) is $2\left(u+e_{i}\right)+2\left(-u+e_{i}\right)-2 u-2(-u)$, and both sides of (12) are $\left(x(u)-r_{i}\right)^{2}$ at the origin, so are the same. An exercise with the group law on $E / \hat{K}$ shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-r_{i}\right) \tau_{e_{i}}(x)=-\left(x+r_{i}\right)\left(x-r_{i}\right)+y^{2} /\left(x-r_{i}\right)=x r_{i}+r_{j} r_{k}+r_{i}^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$, which lies in $\hat{R}\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right]$.
Now taking the product of (12) over the cosets of the non-identity elements of $C$ under the action of $[ \pm 1]$ and then multiplying by $x-r_{i}$ gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(x-r_{i}\right)=\left(x-r_{i}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{\pi\left(\tau_{e_{i}}(x)\right)}{\pi(x)}\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\pi\left(\tau_{e_{i}}(x)\right) \equiv \pi(x) \equiv \tilde{\ell}_{0} \bmod p$, (14) gives that $N\left(x-r_{i}\right)$ reduces to $\left(x-r_{i}\right)^{p} \bmod p$.
From (9) and (14) we also get that $p^{2} N_{0}\left(x-r_{i}\right)=\left.p^{2} \frac{N\left(x-r_{i}\right)}{x-r_{i}}\right|_{O}=\pi\left(r_{i}\right)^{2}$, where $\pi\left(r_{i}\right)$ reduces to $\tilde{\ell}_{0} \bmod p$, and is a unit in $\hat{R}\left[r_{i}\right]$, since $\pi\left(r_{1}\right) \pi\left(r_{2}\right) \pi\left(r_{3}\right)$ is in $\hat{R}$ and reduces to $\tilde{\ell}_{0}^{3} \bmod p$. If we rewrite

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(x-r_{i}\right)=\left(x-r_{i}\right) S_{i}^{2}(x) / \pi(x)^{2}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}(x)=\left(x-r_{i}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \pi\left(\tau_{e_{i}}(x)\right)$, then (13) shows that $S_{i}(x)$ is in $\hat{R}\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right][x]$, is of degree $(p-1) / 2$, and reduces to $\left(x-r_{i}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \tilde{\ell}_{0}$ mod $p$. Putting these together, (10) gives that

$$
x_{p}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left(x-r_{i}\right) S_{i}^{2}(x)}{\pi\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} \pi(x)^{2}}=\frac{S(x)}{\pi(x)^{2}},
$$

for some polynomial $S(x)$ which by symmetry is in $\hat{R}[x]$, is of degree $p$, and reduces to $x^{p} \bmod p$. Hence $x_{p} \equiv x^{p} / \tilde{\ell}_{0}^{2} \bmod p$.

Likewise, taking a product of (14) over $i$, using that $p^{6} N_{0}\left(y^{2}\right)=\pi\left(r_{1}\right)^{2} \pi\left(r_{2}\right)^{2} \pi\left(r_{3}\right)^{2}$, a unit in $\hat{R}$, we get from (11) and (15) that

$$
y_{p}^{2}=\left(N(y) / p^{3} N_{0}(y)\right)^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\left(x-r_{i}\right) S_{i}(x)^{2}}{\pi\left(r_{i}\right)^{2} \pi(x)^{2}}=\left(\frac{y M(x)}{\pi(x)^{3}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $M(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{S_{i}(x)}{\pi\left(r_{i}\right)} \in \hat{R}[x]$ has degree $(3 p-3) / 2$, and $M(x) \equiv f(x)^{(p-1) / 2} \bmod p$. We've seen that $y_{p} / y$ at the origin is $1 / p^{3}$, and $\frac{M(x)}{\pi(x)^{3}}$ at the origin is the lead coefficient of $M$ — which is $1 \bmod p$ - divided by $p^{3}$. Therefore $y_{p}=\frac{y M(x)}{\pi(x)^{3}}$, and so $y_{p} \equiv y^{p} / \tilde{\ell}_{0}^{3} \bmod p$. Hence $t_{p} \equiv-x_{p} / y_{p} \equiv \tilde{\ell}_{0} t^{p} \equiv H t^{p} \bmod p$.

Using these expressions for $x_{p}$ and $y_{p}$ and multiplying (8) by $\pi(x)^{6}$ shows that

$$
A_{4, p}^{\prime} S(x) \pi(x)^{4}+A_{6, p}^{\prime} \pi(x)^{6} \in \hat{R}[x] .
$$

A priori we only know that $A_{4, p}^{\prime}$ and $A_{6, p}^{\prime}$ lie in $\hat{K}$, but since the constant term of $\pi(x)$ is a unit in $\hat{R}$, Gauss's lemma gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{4, p}^{\prime} S(x)+A_{6, p}^{\prime} \pi(x)^{2} \in \hat{R}[x] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient of $x^{p}$ in (16) is a unit in $\hat{R}$ times $A_{4, p}^{\prime}$ so we get $A_{4, p}^{\prime} \in \hat{R}$. We conclude that $A_{6, p}^{\prime} \pi(x)^{2} \in \hat{R}[x]$, and as above, that $A_{6, p}^{\prime} \in \hat{R}$.

Hence from (8) we get

$$
y_{p}^{2} \equiv y^{2 p} / H^{6} \equiv\left(x^{3}+A_{4} x+A_{6}\right)^{p} / H^{6} \equiv x_{p}^{3}+\left(A_{4}^{p} / H^{4}\right) x_{p}+A_{6}^{p} / H^{6} \bmod p
$$

Therefore $A_{4}^{\prime} \equiv A_{4}^{p} / H^{4} \bmod p$, and $A_{6}^{\prime} \equiv A_{6}^{p} / H^{6} \bmod p$.
We now need to show that the construction gives that $A_{4, p}^{\prime}$ and $A_{6, p}^{\prime}$ have the desired weights. There are two key points.

The first is that the factorization in the Weierstrass Preparation Theorem uniquely gives us $\psi_{p}(x)=\pi(x) \xi(x)$, with $\pi(x)$ of degree $(p-1) / 2$ with lead coefficient $p$. Indeed $P(x)$ was unique, and $\pi(x)$ is the unique constant multiple of $x^{(p-1) / 2} P(1 / x)$ which has
lead coefficient $p$. By this uniqueness, for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}, \psi_{p}(x)=\kappa^{-\left(p^{2}-1\right)} g r_{\kappa}\left(\psi_{p}(x)\right)$, and we therefore get $\pi(x)=\kappa^{-(p-1)} g r_{\kappa}(\pi(x))$, so $\pi(x)$ has weight $p-1$. Hence each $\tilde{\ell_{n}}$ has weight $p-1-2 n$. The second point is that since $f(x)$ has weight 6 , we can assign each $r_{i}$ a weight of 2 and turn $\hat{R}_{g}\left[r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right]$ into a graded ring which contains $\hat{R}_{g}$ as a graded subring. This gives us that the $\pi\left(r_{i}\right)$ have weight $p-1$, so the weight of $N_{0}\left(x-r_{i}\right)$ is $p-1$, and from (13) that $\tau_{e_{i}}(x)$ has weight 2 . Then (14) gives that $N\left(x-r_{i}\right)$ has weight $2 p$, and (15) says that $S_{i}(x)$ has weight $2 p-2$. Hence $x_{p}$ has weight 2 and $y_{p}$ has weight 3. It follows that the expression in (16) has weight $2 p+4$, and its coefficient of $x^{p}$ is $A_{4}^{\prime}$, which hence has weight 4 . Therefore $A_{6}^{\prime} \pi(x)^{2}$ has weight $2 p+4$, so $A_{6}^{\prime}$ has weight 6 .
b) This follows from the effects of changing Weierstrass models, and that $H$ has weight $p-1$.
c) Since $M(x)$ has a lead coefficient that is a unit in $\hat{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{p / H}=-S(x) \pi(x) / H M(x) y=-\left(t^{2 p} S(x)\right)\left(t^{p} \pi(x)\right) / H\left(t^{3 p-3} M(x)\right)\left(t^{3} y\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a power series in $\hat{R}[[t]]$ divided by an invertible power series in $\hat{R}[[t]]$, so lies in $\hat{R}[[t]]$. Note (17) expresses $t_{p / H}$ as an odd function on $E$, so $t_{p / H}$ is odd in $t$. Set $v(t)=$ $-t^{2 p} S(x) /\left(t^{3 p-3} M(x)\right)\left(t^{3} y\right) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$, so $t_{p / H}=\frac{1}{H}\left(t^{p} \pi(x)\right) v(t)$.

The lead term of $t_{p / H}$ is $p t / H$ because $\phi^{*}\left(\omega_{p / H}\right)=\frac{p}{H} \omega$, and hence the lead term of $v(t)$ is 1 since the lead term of $t^{p} \pi(x)$ is $p t$. Finally $v(t) \bmod p$ is

$$
-t^{2 p} x^{p} / t^{3 p-3} y^{p-1} t^{3} y=(-x / t y)^{p}=1
$$

Remark 21. In Appendix I of [MT] they define a division polynomial for any isogeny of elliptic curves normalized by a choice of invariant differentials on the curves. Using this definition, that $v(t)$ in (c) above has constant term 1 implies that $\pi(x) / H$ is the division polynomial of $\phi$ given the choices of $\omega$ and $\omega_{p / H}$ for invariant differentials on $E$ and $E^{\prime}$.

Definition 22. Let $\alpha$ be the homomorphism from $R$ to $\hat{R}$ that sends $\left(A_{4}, A_{6}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(A_{4, p / H}^{\prime}, A_{6, p / H}^{\prime}\right)$, which Proposition 20 shows has the property that $\alpha(r) \equiv r^{p} \bmod p$ for any $r \in R$. Hence $\alpha(H)$ is $H^{p} \bmod p$, so is invertible in $\hat{R}$. Therefore $\alpha$ extends uniquely to $R_{H}$ and thence continuously to $\hat{R}$, where it reduces to the Frobenius mod $p$. We also denote this extension to $\hat{R}$ by $\alpha$. (At the end of the section we will also consider the analogous weight-preserving endomorphism $\alpha_{0}: \hat{R} \rightarrow \hat{R}$ determined by $\left.\left(A_{4}, A_{6}\right) \rightarrow\left(A_{4, p}^{\prime}, A_{6, p}^{\prime}\right).\right)$

From now on we take $E_{p / H}^{\prime}$ as the defining model for $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$. We correspondingly define

$$
H^{\prime}=H\left(A_{4, p / H}^{\prime}, A_{6, p / H}^{\prime}\right) \equiv H^{p} \bmod p
$$

so $H^{\prime}$ is invertible in $\hat{R}$, and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ again defines a WOGEC. Likewise we set $\omega^{\prime}=\omega_{p / H}$ and $t^{\prime}=t_{p / H}$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be the derivation on $\hat{K}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ defined by $D^{\prime}(g)=d g / d \omega^{\prime}$, which is to say, the derivation determined by $D^{\prime}\left(x_{p / H}\right)=2 y_{p / H}$. Since $\phi^{*}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)=(p / H) \omega$, for
any $g \in \hat{K}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$, we have $D^{\prime}(g)=(H / p) D(g)$. We showed in $\S 2.1$ that $D$ has a unique extension to $\hat{R}[[t]]$, and likewise $D^{\prime}$ has a unique extension to $\hat{R}\left[\left[t^{\prime}\right]\right]$, which implies that for any Laurent series $a(t) \in \hat{R}\left(\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)$, we also have $D^{\prime}(a)=(H / p) D(a)$.

Since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is an $\alpha$-specialization of $\mathcal{E}$, using Corollary 10 we have a Laurent series $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\alpha\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\right)\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
D^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)=-x_{p / H}\left(t^{\prime}\right)+\alpha(\beta)
$$

where $\alpha(\beta)$ is in $\hat{R}$. Furthermore from (4), we get that $D^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=1 / \alpha(W)\left(t^{\prime}\right)$.
In parallel to the definitions at the beginning of this Section, we now set $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=$ $\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-D^{\prime} t^{\prime} / t^{\prime}$, which since $D^{\prime} t^{\prime} / t^{\prime}=1 / t^{\prime} \alpha(W)\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, is the same thing as $\alpha\left(\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\right)\left(t^{\prime}\right)$.

So we get from part (c) of Proposition 20 that:
Corollary 23. We have $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$.
To complete the proof of Theorem 8 we need to verify that with our definitions of $\alpha$ and setting $s^{\prime}=t^{\prime}$, the coefficients of $\Lambda(t)$ also meet the requisite criteria in part (c) of the Corollary to the Functional Equation Lemma. For this we need two lemmas, the first whose proof follows readily from the group law on $E / \hat{K}$, and the second of which is due to Vélu [Ve] (see also [Elk]).

We note that there is a unique way to extend $D$ to a $\overline{\hat{K}}$ derivation on $\overline{\hat{K}}(E)$, and we will also denote that extension by $D$.

Lemma 24. For any point $u \in E$ other than $O$,

$$
D\left(\frac{D(x-x(u))}{x-x(u)}\right)=2 x-\left(\tau_{u}^{*} x+\tau_{-u}^{*} x\right)
$$

Lemma 25 (Vélu). For $g \in \hat{K}(E)$, let $T(g)=\sum_{u \in C} \tau_{u}(g)$ be the trace, and $T^{\prime}(g)=$ $\sum_{u \in C-O} g(u)$. Then the model $E_{1}^{\prime}$ for $E^{\prime}$ is

$$
y_{1}^{2}=x_{1}^{3}+A_{4,1}^{\prime} x_{1}+A_{6,1}^{\prime}
$$

for some $A_{4,1}^{\prime}, A_{6,1}^{\prime} \in \hat{K}$, where $x_{1}=T(x)-T^{\prime}(x), y_{1}=T(y)-T^{\prime}(y)$.
We can now prove:

Proposition 26. Keeping the above notation:
a)

$$
\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=H \zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)+\frac{H}{p} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}
$$

b)

$$
\Lambda(t)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(\Lambda)\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int \tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t) \omega-\frac{1}{p} \int \tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \omega^{\prime} \in \hat{R}[[t]]
$$

where the integrals are taken to have vanishing constant terms.
Proof. Let $\epsilon=\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-H \zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{H}{p} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}$, which is a priori in $\hat{K}((t))$. Our goal is to show that $\epsilon=0$ : we will do this in stages.

We first claim that $\epsilon \in \hat{R}[[t]]$. By Corollary 23 it suffices to show that

$$
D^{\prime} t^{\prime} / t^{\prime}-\frac{H}{p} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}-H D t / t \in \hat{R}[[t]] .
$$

But since $D^{\prime} t^{\prime} / t^{\prime}=(H / p) D t^{\prime} / t^{\prime}$, Proposition 20 (c) shows that this expression can be written as $H D v(t) / p v(t)$, for some $v(t) \in 1+p t \hat{R}[[t]]$, which gives us our claim.

It follows that $\eta=D(\epsilon) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$. We will now show that as an element of $\hat{K}(E)$, $\eta \in \hat{K}$.

Working first in $\overline{\hat{K}}(E)$, we compute using Lemma 24 and Lemma 25 that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D\left(\frac{H}{p} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}\right)=\frac{H}{p} \sum_{u \in(C-\{O\}) / \pm 1} D\left(\frac{D(x-x(u))}{x-x(u)}\right) \\
=\frac{H}{p} \sum_{u \in(C-\{O\}) / \pm 1}\left(2 x-\left(\tau_{u}^{*} x+\tau_{-u}^{*} x\right)\right) \\
=H x-\frac{H}{p} \sum_{u \in C} \tau_{u}^{*} x=H x-\frac{H}{p}\left(x_{1}+T^{\prime}(x)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now using Theorem 9 and working in $\hat{K}((t))$ we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta=D\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-H \zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{H}{p} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}\right) \\
=\frac{p}{H} D^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)-H D\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)\right)-H x+\frac{H}{p}\left(x_{1}+T^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
=\frac{p}{H}\left(-x_{p / H}+\alpha(\beta)\right)-H(-x+\beta)-H x+\frac{H}{p}\left(x_{1}+T^{\prime}(x)\right) \\
=\frac{p}{H}\left(-x_{p / H}+\alpha(\beta)\right)-H \beta+\frac{H}{p}\left(x_{1}+T^{\prime}(x)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $x_{p / H}=\frac{H^{2}}{p^{2}} x_{1}$, we get that $\eta=\frac{p}{H} \alpha(\beta)-H \beta+\frac{H}{p} T^{\prime}(x) \in \hat{K}$ as desired.

Since $\epsilon \in \hat{R}[[t]]$, we actually have ${ }^{3}$ that $\eta \in \hat{R}$. It follows then from Lemma 14 that $\eta=0$. Hence $\epsilon$ is constant, i.e. is in $\hat{R}$. Since by Proposition 20 (c) it is also an odd power series in $t$, we have that $\epsilon=0$.

To prove (b), note that from (a) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{H}{p} \tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{1}{p} \tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{H}{p} \zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)-\frac{1}{p} \zeta_{\mathcal{E}^{\prime} / \hat{R}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\frac{H}{p} \frac{D t}{t}+\frac{1}{p} \frac{D^{\prime} t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}}= \\
\frac{-H}{p^{2}} \frac{D \pi(x(t))}{\pi(x(t))}-\frac{H}{p} \frac{D t}{t}+\frac{H}{p^{2}} \frac{D t^{\prime}}{t^{\prime}}=\frac{-H}{p^{2}} \frac{D\left(t^{p} \pi(x(t)) / H t^{\prime}\right)}{t^{p} \pi(x(t)) / H t^{\prime}}=\frac{-H}{p^{2}} \frac{D(1 / v(t))}{1 / v(t)}=\frac{H}{p^{2}} \frac{D(v(t))}{v(t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying by $\omega^{\prime}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{p}{H} \omega(t)$ and integrating gives

$$
\Lambda(t)-\frac{1}{p} \alpha(\Lambda)\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\log (v(t))}{p}
$$

The proof is completed by the observation that since $v(t) \equiv 1 \bmod p$, we have $\frac{\log (v(t))}{p} \in$ $\hat{R}[[t]]$.

Proof of Theorem 8. Write $\tilde{\zeta}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)=\sum_{n \geq 1} c_{n} t^{n}$, so $\Lambda(t)=\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{c_{n-1}}{n} t^{n}$. Then Proposition 26 (b) says we can apply part (c) of Corollary 17 to the Functional Equation Lemma with $F=\hat{K}, s=t$, and $s^{\prime}=t^{\prime}$ to deduce that $\tilde{\sigma}(t)-$ and hence $\sigma(t)-$ has coefficients in $\hat{R}$. Therefore we can take $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}(t)$ to be $\sigma(t)$. As for uniqueness, it follows from the uniqueness of $\zeta_{E / \hat{R}}$ that any two possible candidates for $\sigma_{E / \hat{R}}(t)$ have as a ratio a unit power series $e(t) \in \hat{R}[[t]]$ with lead term 1 such that $D e / e=0$. Hence such an $e$ is a constant, so must be 1 .

Remark 27. We can gain some insight into our construction by considering various quantities as $p$-adic modular forms. Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the ring of level-one $p$-adic modular forms (with growth condition " $r=1$ " $[\mathrm{K}]$ ). One standardly embeds $R$ into $\mathcal{M}$ by setting $i\left(A_{4}, A_{6}\right)=\left(-\frac{\mathbb{E}_{4}}{48}, \frac{\mathbb{E}_{6}}{864}\right)$, where $\mathbb{E}_{2 n}$ is the normalized Eisenstein series of weight $2 n$. Since $H$ gives the Hasse invariant for an elliptic curve in the form (1) over a field of characteristic $p, i(H) \equiv \mathbb{E}_{p-1} \bmod p$, which is invertible in $\mathcal{M}$ since $\mathcal{M}$ is $p$-complete. Hence we can extend $i$ to an embedding of $R_{H}$, which then extends to an embedding of $\hat{R}$ into $\mathcal{M}$, using again that $\mathcal{M}$ is $p$-complete.

By considering their construction of the $p$-adic sigma function applied to the Tate curve, Mazur and Tate computed the $q$-expansion of $i(\beta)$ and showed

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(\beta)=\frac{1}{12} \mathbb{E}_{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2](n.b. the sign correction in [MST]).

Now let $\alpha$ and $\alpha_{0}$ be as in Definition 22.
Recall (see e.g. [G], II.2) that the Frob operator on $\mathcal{M}$ is obtained by first applying the $V$ operator which maps modular forms of level 1 to forms on $\Gamma_{0}(p)$ (by replacing $q$ by $q^{p}$ in their $q$-expansions) and then embedding the latter into forms of level 1 . It follows from the results in $\S 3$ of $[\mathrm{K}]$ that $\alpha_{0}$ is a lift of Frob to $\hat{R}$, that is, Frob $\circ i=i \circ \alpha_{0}$. Note that our definition of $\beta$ as a limit of $\beta_{n}=J_{n} / H_{n}$ (see Proposition 12) shows immediately that $i(\beta)$ is a $p$-adic modular form of weight 2 , and hence that $\alpha(\beta)=H^{2} \alpha_{0}(\beta)$.

In the course of the proof of Proposition 26 we showed that

$$
p \alpha_{0}(\beta)=\frac{p}{H^{2}} \alpha(\beta)=\beta-\frac{1}{p} T^{\prime}(x)
$$

where $T^{\prime}(x)$ is defined in Lemma 25, and is $-2 \tilde{\ell}_{\frac{p-3}{2}} / p$ in the notation of (7).
Applying the embedding $i$ gives

$$
p \operatorname{Frob}(i(\beta))=i(\beta)-\frac{i\left(T^{\prime}(x)\right)}{p}
$$

which in light of (18), is the statement that

$$
i\left(T^{\prime}(x) / p\right)=\frac{1-p}{12} \mathbb{E}_{2}^{*}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{*}:=\left(\mathbb{E}_{2}-p \operatorname{Frob}\left(\mathbb{E}_{2}\right)\right) /(1-p)$ is the weight $2 p$-adic Eisenstein series described in [Se], whose $q$-expansion is $1-\frac{24}{1-p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma^{*}(n) q^{n}$, where $\sigma^{*}(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of $n$ prime to $p$.

## 4. Universal equivalent formulations and specializations

Recall that if $A$ be a complete DVR of residue characteristic $p>3$ and $E / A$ an elliptic curve with good ordinary or multiplicative reduction over $A$, given by a Weierstrass model

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=x^{3}+a_{4} x+a_{6}, t=-x / y, \omega=d x / 2 y \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Mazur and Tate attached a $p$-adic sigma function $\sigma_{E / A}$ to this model, which they proved is the unique power series in $A[[t]]$, odd under $t$ goes to $-t$, with lead term $t$, that satisfies any of a number of equivalent conditions.

If $A$ has characteristic 0 , one of these equivalent conditions characterizing $\sigma_{E / A}(t)$ is that

$$
D\left(D\left(\sigma_{E / A}(t)\right) / \sigma_{E / A}(t)\right)+x(t) \in A
$$

where $D$ acts on power series as in (6). However, if $A$ has characteristic $p$, this condition does not uniquely characterize $\sigma_{E / A}$. On the other hand, Mazur and Tate show that for
all complete DVRs $A, \sigma_{E / A}$ is uniquely characterized by the property that for all $u, v$ in the kernel of reduction $E_{0}(E / A)$,

$$
\frac{\sigma_{E / A}\left(u+_{E} v\right) \sigma_{E / A}\left(u-_{E} v\right)}{\sigma_{E / A}(u)^{2} \sigma_{E / A}(v)^{2}}=x(v)-x(u)
$$

where $+_{E}$ and $-_{E}$ are denoting that the operations are taking place in the group law of E.

We will now show that for our WOGEC $\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}$, that in an appropriate sense, $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}$ universally satisfies this condition.

For parameters $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, let $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ be the formal group law in $\hat{R}\left[\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right]$ as in [Si], IV, $\S 1$ for $\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}$, which we also write as $t_{1}+_{\mathcal{F}} t_{2}$, the power series gotten by calculating the expansion of $t$, in terms of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, evaluated at the sum in the group law on $E$ of the points $\left(x\left(t_{1}\right), y\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x\left(t_{2}\right), y\left(t_{2}\right)\right)$ of $E$. Then $\omega(t)=W(t) d t$ is an invariant differential on $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. [[Si], IV, §4], so

$$
W\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right) \frac{d}{d t_{1}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)=W\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

and it follows that $D$ acts as an invariant derivation on $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. if $D_{1}$ denotes $D$ acting on $t_{1}$ while treating $t_{2}$ as a constant,

$$
D_{1}\left(t_{1}+{ }_{\mathcal{F}} t_{2}\right)=\frac{d\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right) / d t_{1}}{W\left(t_{1}\right)}=1 / W\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)=\left.D(t)\right|_{t=t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}}
$$

It follows from standard properties of derivations that for any power series $e \in \hat{R}[[t]]$ that

$$
D_{1}\left(e\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)\right)=\left.D(e(t))\right|_{t=t_{1}+_{\mathcal{F}} t_{2}} .
$$

We also write $t_{1}-{ }_{\mathcal{F}} t_{2}$ for subtraction in the formal group, which since $t$ is an odd parameter on $\mathcal{E}$, is the same as $t_{1}+_{\mathcal{F}}\left(-t_{2}\right)$, so we also have

$$
D_{1}\left(e\left(t_{1}-\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)\right)=\left.D(e(t))\right|_{t=t_{1}-\mathcal{F}} t_{2} .
$$

Proposition 28. As elements in the fraction field of $\hat{R}\left[\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right]$,

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}-\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)}{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}^{2}\left(t_{2}\right)}=x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}-\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right) / \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}^{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}^{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=\theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. By Theorems 8 and 9 we have

$$
D_{1}\left(\frac{D_{1}\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)\right)}{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)}\right)=D_{1}\left(\zeta_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}} t_{2}\right)\right)=-x\left(t_{1}+\mathcal{F} t_{2}\right)+\beta
$$

Applying this also with $t_{2}$ replaced by $-t_{2}$, then Theorem 9 and Lemma 24 imply that the second logarithmic derivations in $t_{1}$ of $\theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)$ agree, so there is an element $\mu\left(t_{2}\right)$ in the fraction field of $\hat{R}\left[\left[t_{2}\right]\right]$ such that

$$
\frac{D_{1} \theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}{\theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}-\frac{D_{1}\left(x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)}{x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)}=\mu\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

Since the left hand side of this is odd in $t_{1}, \mu\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. Hence

$$
\theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\nu\left(t_{2}\right)\left(x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)
$$

for some $\nu\left(t_{2}\right)$ in the fraction field of $\hat{R}\left[\left[t_{2}\right]\right]$. Since $\theta\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is odd under swapping $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}, \nu\left(t_{2}\right)=\nu\left(t_{1}\right)$ must be in $\hat{R}$. Comparing the lead terms in the expansions of both sides of this as Laurent series in $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ shows that $\nu=1$.

Remark 29. One could also fashion a proof of the Proposition using the Lefschetz Principle and properties of the complex sigma function.

We now have one of our defining goals:

Theorem 30. Let $p>3$ and $A$ be a complete discrete valuation ring of residue characteristic p, and E an elliptic curve over $A$ in Weierstrass form (19) with ordinary good (or multiplicative) reduction. From Proposition 7 there is a homomorphism $\rho: \hat{R} \rightarrow A$ such that $\rho\left(A_{4}\right)=a_{4}$ and $\rho\left(A_{6}\right)=a_{6}$, which makes $E$ a $\rho$-specialization $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}$.

Then the specialization $\widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}$ of the universal p-adic sigma function $\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}$ induced by $\rho$ is the Mazur-Tate p-adic sigma function $\sigma_{E / A}$.

Proof. Note that if $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the formal group law over $A$ gotten by specializing the coefficients of $\mathcal{F}$ via $\rho$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a formal group law on the kernel of reduction $E_{0}(E / A)$ of $E / A$. Hence for any $u$ and $v$ in $E_{0}(E / A)$, the specialization $\hat{R}\left[\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right] \rightarrow A$ induced by $\rho$ and the map $t_{1} \rightarrow t(u), t_{2} \rightarrow t(v)$, specialize the result of Proposition 28 to the equation,

$$
\frac{\widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}\left(u+_{E} v\right) \widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}\left(u-{ }_{E} v\right)}{{\widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}}^{2}(u){\widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}}^{2}(v)}=x(v)-x(u)
$$

where $x, y$, and $t=-x / y$ denote the functions on $E / A$ given in (19). Therefore by Theorem 3.1 of $[\mathrm{MT}], \widetilde{\sigma_{\mathcal{E} / \hat{R}}}=\sigma_{E / A}$.

## 5. Recovering the universal $p$-adic sigma functor

Now let $A$ be a complete DVR of residue characteristic $p>2$, and $E / A$ an elliptic curve with good ordinary or multiplicative reduction over $A$. Mazur and Tate constructed their $p$-adic sigma function for $E / A$ without the need to choose a model for $E$, defining
it for a pair $(E, \omega)$ where $\omega$ is a choice of invariant differential on $E / A$, and denoting it as $\sigma_{(E, \omega) / A}$.

As we noted in the Introduction, Mazur and Tate showed that their construction carried over to more general base schemes.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of formal adic schemes for which $p$ can be taken as an ideal of definition. For any $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and $n \geq 1$, let $S_{n}$ be the scheme cut out by the ideal generated by $p^{n}$. Then (see section 2 of [BG]) an ordinary elliptic curve $E / S$ is a compatible system of ordinary elliptic curves $E_{n}$ over $S_{n}$ as $n$-varies.

Mazur and Tate constructed a " $\sigma$-functor" for ordinary elliptic curves (along with a choice of non-vanishing relative 1-differential) over $\mathcal{S}$ which is uniquely determined by being compatible with base change, and by recovering their construction above for elliptic curves with good ordinary reduction over a $p$-complete DVR $A$ (whose reductions $\bmod p^{n}$ can be viewed as an elliptic curve over $\left.\operatorname{Spf}(A)\right)$.

Let us recall what this functor does (for details see [MT]). For $S \in \mathcal{S}$, suppose ( $E, \omega$ ) is an ordinary elliptic curve over $S$ with $\omega$ a non-vanishing relative 1-differential over $S$. Let $E_{/ S}^{f}$ be the formal completion of $E$ along the zero-section restricted to $S_{1}$. They defined the sigma functor as a rule that assigned to each such $(E, \omega)$ a formal parameter $\sigma(E, \omega)_{/ S}$ for the formal group $E_{/ S}^{f}$ such that $d \sigma(E, \omega)_{/ S} / \omega$ restricts to 1 on the zero section of $E / S$.

We will now sketch how our universal $p$-adic sigma function recovers the Mazur-Tate $\sigma$-functor when $p>3$. For starters, let $E$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over any scheme $S$ for which $p$ is nilpotent, and $\omega$ a choice of non-vanishing relative 1-differential over $S$. Let $U_{i}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R_{i}\right)$ be an open cover of $S$, so $p$ is nilpotent in $R_{i}$ and hence $R_{i}$ is $p$-complete. There is then a unique Weierstrass model $W_{i}$ for $E_{/ R_{i}}$ of the form

$$
y_{i}^{2}=x_{i}^{3}+\alpha_{4, i} x_{i}+\alpha_{6, i},
$$

$\alpha_{4, i}, \alpha_{6, i} \in R_{i}$, such that $\left.\omega\right|_{U_{i}}=d x_{i} / 2 y_{i}$. Let $t_{i}=-x_{i} / y_{i}$. Since such an elliptic curve is a WOGEC, by Proposition $6, W_{i}$ is uniquely a $\rho$ specialization of $\mathcal{E}$, and applying $\rho$ to the coefficients of $\sigma_{\mathcal{E}, \hat{R}}$ gives a power series $\sigma_{i} \in R_{i}\left[\left[t_{i}\right]\right]$ with lead term $t_{i}$. In other words, if $E_{/ S}^{f}$ is the formal completion of $E$ along the 0 -section, $\sigma_{i}$ is a parameter for the formal group $E_{/ R_{i}}^{f}$. By the uniqueness of these Weierstrass models, the corresponding power series agree on any overlap among the $U_{i}$, and the $\sigma_{i}$ therefore piece together to give a well-defined parameter $\hat{\sigma}(E, \omega)_{/ S}$ for $E_{/ S}^{f}$ such that $d \hat{\sigma}(E, \omega)_{/ S} / \omega$ restricts to 1 on the zero section of $E / S$.

Now take $S \in \mathcal{S}$, and let $(E, \omega)$ be an ordinary elliptic curve over $S$ with $\omega$ a nonvanishing relative 1-differential. Then $E_{n} / S_{n}$ is an ordinary elliptic curve over a scheme where $p$ is nilpotent, and $\omega_{n}:=\left.\omega\right|_{E_{n}}$ is a non-vanishing relative 1-differential, so the above defines a unique parameter $\hat{\sigma}_{\left(E_{n}, \omega_{n}\right) / S_{n}}$ for $E_{n / S_{n}}^{f}$. The uniqueness means that as $n$ varies they coherently define a unique parameter $\hat{\sigma}_{(E, \omega) / S}$ for $E_{/ S}^{f}$.

That $\hat{\sigma}_{(E, \omega) / S}=\sigma_{(E, \omega) / S}$ follows from the uniqueness of the $\sigma$-functor and from Theorem 30, using that specialization commutes with base change.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We refer the reader to [Co1] and [Co2] for background on generalized elliptic curves. Following [Co2], a stable genus- 1 curve $X$ over a scheme $S$ is a scheme that is proper, smooth, and of finite presentation over $S$, with all its fibres over geometric points being smooth curves of genus 1 or Néron $n$-gons. We let $X^{s m}$ denote its smooth locus. A generalized elliptic curve $E / S$ is a stable genus-1 curve over $S$, along with a section $e \in E^{s m}(S)$, and a map $+: E^{s m} \times E \rightarrow E$ such that + restricts to turn $\left(E^{s m}, e\right)$ into a commutative group scheme with cyclic geometric component group.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ We also have that $\frac{T^{\prime}(x)}{p}=\frac{-2 \tilde{\ell}_{\frac{p-3}{2}}}{p^{2}} \in \hat{R}$, which is not hard to see directly. For example, that $\ell_{\frac{p^{2}-3}{2}}=0$ and $\frac{d}{d x} \xi_{\phi}(x) \equiv 0 \bmod p$ implies that $\tilde{\ell}_{\frac{p-3}{2}} \equiv 0 \bmod p^{2}$.

